

## ASUC SENATE MEETING

September 16, 2009

Fourth Week

This regular meeting of the ASUC Senate was called to order by Tu Tran at 7:02 p.m. in the ASUC Senate Chamber.

### APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES

Mr. Tran called for any changes to the September 9 minutes. A motion to approve was made and seconded by Ms. Boone and Ms. Tang. THE MINUTES TO THE SEPTEMBER 9, 2009 MEETING WERE APPROVED WITH NO OBJECTION.

### NEW BUSINESS

Mr. Tran referred the following bills to committee:

SB 22, To Grant a Stipend to the Director of Marketing and Communications, to the Finance Committee

SB 23, In Support of Bridge Across Borders (BAB), to the Finance Committee

SB 24, In Support of the UC Berkeley Memorial Victory Garden, to the Finance Committee

SB 25, In Support of the Afghan Student Association, to the Finance Committee

SB 26, In Support of the September 23rd Save the University Teach-in, to the Finance Committee and to the University and External Affairs Committee

Mr. Tran called for any changes to New Business and hearing none, called for a motion to approve. It was so moved and seconded. The motion to approve New Business passed with no objection.

### APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA (Sept. 23)

Mr. Tran said the Chair would entertain a motion for a Special Order next week from 8:00 to 8:30 from the Student Fee Referendum Committee, by Chairs Nadesan Permaul and Tina Rajmara-Bennett. It was so moved and seconded by Mr. Gala and Ms. Nguyen. THE MOTION TO HEAR A SPECIAL ORDER FROM 8:00 TO 8:30 NEXT WEEK FOR THE STUDENT FEE REFERENDUM COMMITTEE PASSED WITH NO OBJECTION.

A motion to approve the agenda was made and seconded by Ms. Nguyen and Mr. Patel. THE MOTION TO APPROVE THE AGENDA FOR THE SEPTEMBER 23 MEETING, AS AMENDED, PASSED WITH NO OBJECTION.

### GUEST ANNOUNCEMENTS

Mr. Tran said the first Guest Announcement was from Associate Chancellor Linda Williams. He called for a motion to extend total speaking time to 30 minutes. It was so moved and seconded by Ms. Tang and Mr. Franco passed with no objection.

Ms. Williams said she would like to thank them for the invitation to talk with them and answer questions. She's been on the Berkeley campus for a little over a year, but has been in the UC System for almost 23 years. The one particular responsibility she had was government and community relations. There's been a lot of discussion about the budget and they could read it from ten different views, but at the end of the day, the State was in a crisis, and therefore the campus was in a crisis. She'd talk about how the Office of Government Community Relations was being directed to getting the message out so people, including their representatives, better understand the impacts the budget crisis was having on higher education, and particularly on the Berkeley campus.

Ms. Williams said the Office has a Web site that talks about this. UCOP felt there should be a redefining of its vision to have coordinated advocacy and communication with the Legislature and with public affairs activities. They want to make sure the public at-large understand the role that public universities play in the State. The research they do really had an impact out in the world and touches everybody's lives, whether it's the food they eat, the movies they watch, etc. In some way, UC and its research have touched their every activity. OP will beef up its work in helping the average citizen of California and throughout the world understand that. The impact UC has had on health care and on their economy are major pieces they'll undertake to explain.

Ms. Williams said she wanted to try and build a third-party engagement around helping to get these messages out. Pres. Yudof has begun to build a constituency of around 3-400 people he wanted to call on on a regular basis who can understand these issues and go out and present a message on behalf of UC and answer questions.

From the standpoint of the office she oversees, they are helping to pull together, along with the Alumni Association and others, a broad letter-writing campaign to legislators and others to help them better understand impacts of the budget and help engage the movement to support the elimination of the two-thirds budget requirement. That was a huge impact on what happens with the budget and how it gets gridlocked in Sacramento.

Ms. Williams said they'll also do more work on visiting local district offices in the Bay Area with various leaders. The campus hasn't done as much work on that as they'd like to. They go to Sacramento a lot, but building a better relationship with representatives' staffs will go a long way in reaching out. They'll also work with the Alumni Association. UC has hundreds of thousands of alums out there and UC needs to utilize them better to help that happen.

Ms. Williams said they're never going to go back to the budget of the '80s and '90s. So they have to learn how to do things differently. That means turning a ship that moves like a glacier through a process that was moving faster than they may know how to move. Hopefully, faculty will be utilized to solve the budget crisis and tax issues.

They have billion-dollar deficits because that's money that was used to create structural needs. When the money wasn't there any more, they still had the structural needs. Another economic reality was a need to identify new funding opportunities. They need to start looking at the federal level to identify more resources. And that wouldn't just be through stimulus dollars, but to look at the federal government as a means to help public research universities, particularly those in the top tiers. They had to go in that direction or else continue to be in the place they're in, which was a spiral down. So they need to look at new funding models. That meant engaging more in Washington, D.C. That meant they had to redirect their resources to do that. They might be able to have partnerships with other top public universities.

Ms. Williams said that what's changed since he's been at UC is that they now have term limits. So they don't have the time as they had to build relationships and do more educating. When they go to Sacramento, the legislators they talk to are very clear that they understand the situation for UC. The reality is that they don't have enough money to fix the situation. People could debate whether to fund prisons or higher education, but at the end of the day, legislators say they understand the woes and were interested in trying to continue to identify ways to get this turned around.

They need to rebuild their public trust and transparency efforts and engage their local communities. That didn't mean just the City of Berkeley, but Oakland, Walnut Creek, Emeryville, Richmond, etc. in the past there's been a very concentrated effort out of her office to do everything there in Berkeley, and she thought they had to move away from that and work on partnerships with other places.

They know the impact the economy has had around middle- class families, particularly with tuition and fees at the University. There needs to be additional attention given to that group. The problem wasn't just fees, but the costs of going to school, and where people have to live. They have to acknowledge the impact that has on families up and down the spectrum of economics. With two or three kids in college, \$100,000 wasn't a lot of money. So they're beginning to look at how to help middle-class families.

UC has constantly been the voice around public policy in higher education. It drives so many things.

The Community Relations Office spends about 70% of their time in Sacramento. Federal was around 25% and local was very small. Their resources should change some and should concentrate at the 50%-level at the federal level, 30% at the State, and locally, 20%. That's a shift they're making in the office.

Ms. Williams said she was at the Regents meeting that day and there's a proposal, to be voted on in November, for a 30% increase in fees. They also discussed staff and faculty furloughs. The Regents will have to make tough decisions that were unprecedented at the University.

She wanted to note that ASUC Systemwide representatives have done a great job of coming to the table and speaking in students' behalf. She called for any questions.

Roll call was taken for attendance.

MEMBERS LEGALLY PRESENT:

Huda Adem  
 Parth Bhatt  
 Ariel Boone  
 Emily Kate Carlton  
 Sandra Cohen  
 Lean Deleon  
 Christopher Franco

Anish Gala  
 Jonathan Gaurano  
 Minji Kim  
 Eunice Kwon  
 Sam Lee  
 Cynthia Nava  
 Nhu Nhu Nguyen

Rahul Patel  
 Christina Oatfield  
 Chaney Saephan  
 J.P. Shami  
 Noah Stern  
 Viola Tang

Ms. Boone asked about her thoughts on executive pay, particularly increases from 2006 to 2008, and on the new policy on disallowing employees in UCOP to collect full severance pay. Ms. Williams said there's been a lot of conversation about executive compensation at UC. When she was at UCOP, she was responsible for managing recruitment of Chancellors, and eight of the sitting Chancellors were searches she oversaw. In understanding what it took to recruit such positions, it wasn't easy. The competitiveness was quite high. She didn't think they'll continue to stay as competitive. It wasn't just salaries, but the cost of living. Compensation was one way to offset that. If they want to continue to draw the best, they had to stay competitive, for executives, faculty, and everybody else.

Ms. Boone asked about her opinion on the trend of UC employees receiving severance checks and then being rehired. Ms. Williams said UCOP brought forward a program where people would be allowed to leave and downsize their organization. She participated in that program. But that was modified to not allow people to do that anymore. People who initially put the program together thought it was a business decision that other enterprises had used to downsize. Having participated, she wouldn't say she regretted participating in a program she was eligible to participate in.

Mr. Deleon asked about her support on the walkout. Ms. Williams said it was a tool and a demonstration to send a loud message to the powers that be on the impact fee increases and furloughs were having. It's a means that's been used for many years, and was quite effective, having a loud voice. She thought their voices should be used, so she didn't have a problem with that.

Ms. Boone asked if the granting of emergency powers to the President in July was the end of shared governance and if that has affected her office. Ms. Williams said she didn't think the ending of shared governance would happen anytime soon. As for the emergency granting of powers to Pres. Yudof, prior to that, didn't have unilateral authority to make decisions that were needed to be made in a quick way when responding to budget issues. The Regents decided he should have that ability. Government and Community Relations continues to work with OP on the initiatives it thinks is important. So they support that. Pres. Yudof was given that authority rather than having an emergency Regents meeting, and would give the flexibility Pres. Yudof needs. It's a different world and wasn't the same place it has been in the past.

Mr. Stern asked how students could help lobby Sacramento. Ms. Williams said they could check the office Web site as to who to write to. She thought students were a very powerful voice in Sacramento. Visiting representatives and sharing their opinions has an impact, as does attending Regents meeting, as the media was there. Giving personal stories made a difference, how students were coping with what was going on. Personal stories usually made the most impact.

Mr. Shami asked what was discussed about the 30% increase in tuition fees. Ms. Williams said Pres. Yudof proposed a 32% fee increase that will be voted on at the November Regents meeting. They opened

the discussion that day and tried to demystify other issues, like the \$5 billion in reserves that really didn't exist as reserves, but existed to be used in certain ways, according to the law. It was a robust conversation by the Regents, who are dismayed at having to make these choices. But they have no other choices. There was also discussion on increasing professional and other fees of that nature, and about putting a cap on enrollment.

Ms. Nguyen asked how much longer Cal could hold on to its title as the number one public research institution. Ms. Williams said they do teaching, research, and public service, and they won't compromise on that. But it was a matter of how to sustain it. She thought they'll continue to hold that title.

Mr. Tran said he would like to thank Ms. Williams. Ms. Williams said she would like to thank them.  
(Applause)

### Report from the ASUC Auxiliary

Mr. Permaul submitted the following:

-----

Begin written report from Auxiliary Director Permaul

September 16, 2009

ASUC Senate: Auxiliary Director's Report

The next meeting of the Store Operations Board is on October 13, 2009 at 6:00 p.m. in the Martin Luther King, Jr. Student Union.

I have updates for you on issues relating to the business enterprises and services of the ASUC and the Auxiliary:

1. Student Fee Referenda: Tina Barnett-Rajmira and myself are scheduled for a Special Order on campus based fee referendums on September 23 at 8:00 p.m.
2. Tully's Coffee: Is now open and appears to be attracting a steady clientele thus far. They have already made arrangements with the Career Center to provide free samples for the fairs taking place there this week, and have begun to provide coffee and continental breakfast service to departments.
3. Cal Link: Is up and running. We had difficulty working with the campus to connect Fundware, which tracks the financial data for ASUC student groups, with Cal Link. Today, after three weeks, we were able to process a batch of reimbursement checks. The next step is to connect Cal Link to

-----  
Written report from Auxiliary Director Permaul (cont'd)

the ASUC Auxiliary Accounting office. But we are in process and moving forward. The ASUC Office of Student Affairs advisors tell me they are very pleased with the way students are utilizing the application, and with the training process for student groups.

4. Campus Consultants: The Berkeley campus has engaged national consultants from Bain to do an administrative review of the Berkeley campus. This is not an assessment of the academic program, but rather the way in which the Berkeley campus does its business as a purveyor of higher education services. Both business administration and academic administration will be reviewed. Bain has recently completed a similar assessment at the University of North Carolina, at Chapel Hill. If you go to that campus' Web site, you can actually read the review that was done, which is posted on line. In addition, the President of UNC speaks in a video about their review. I have been told for those who are interested, seeing that video will give you a sense of what will be taking place here at Cal. For political leaders who are interested in how the University operates, it would be a good place to get an overview. I sent out the links to the UNC reports to Senator Patel, and I assume he was able to share them with you. Just in case, here they are again:

<http://holden.unc.edu/> "Following our lead to better management"

[http://www.unc.edu/chan/chancellors/thorp\\_holden/090721-budgetreport.php](http://www.unc.edu/chan/chancellors/thorp_holden/090721-budgetreport.php)

[http://www.unc.edu/chan/chancellors/thorp\\_holden/090729-budgetoped.php](http://www.unc.edu/chan/chancellors/thorp_holden/090729-budgetoped.php)

Please feel free to send me an e-mail to ask follow up questions. Of course, you are always welcome to write me, or visit during my office hours (Mon 11:00 a.m. to Noon), or to schedule a time that would be convenient to you.

As I said to you in my presentation during the training, student government at Cal is a tradition over 120 years in the making. It is honorable and you are a privileged group. Please respect one another and the political process, and have a great year.

Submitted by: Nadesan Permaul  
Director of the ASUC Auxiliary

End written report from Auxiliary Director Permaul  
-----

SPECIAL ORDER OF THE DAY -- Faculty Panel Discussion on the September 24 Walkout

Catherine Cole introduced herself and said she was chairing the panel. They'll each speak for ten minutes and then hear from the people there and hear what they were thinking about. She would like to thank the ASUC and in particular, its 20 elected Senators, for inviting faculty to present that evening on the current budget crisis at UC.

Ms. Cole said it was a measure of the depth and intensity of faculty concern, as well as their commitment to working in solidarity with students, that so many responded to the ASUC's invitation. They were there as either individuals or, in some cases, representatives of the Academic Senate, or various mobilization initiatives among the faculty. They envision that evening's presentation as a gateway to involvement and not a final destination.

Ms. Cole said the whole purpose of universities, especially research universities, was to study, analyze, interpret, debate, and address complex problems. They were there that evening to provide precisely such analysis and debate. The whole purpose of a public university was to conduct their teaching and research for the public good. By that she meant contributing to social mobility, producing new knowledge, and promoting service.

The historical development of UC was something that distinguished it from other major public research universities, as was its unusual status as a constitutionally designated public trust. That's a designation shared by only five other major public universities.

Ms. Cole said a public trust was a defining feature of democracy, based on the premise that within the public lies the true power and future of their society. Students were that future. The job of faculty was to prepare them for that future. But their ability to do so at this moment was seriously jeopardized by the systemic, long-term, defunding of public education in the State of California. The defunding extends through all levels, kindergarten through college. She would ask how California could be ranked among the lowest in the nation in terms of spending on public education. She would ask how they could spend \$49,000 per prison inmate and less than \$14,000 per UC student.

Students will face more complex and rapidly changing circumstances than perhaps any generation before them. They will have to think outside the box. It is to address such enormous challenges that UC prepares its students.

UC is designated by the Carnegie Classification of Institutions of Higher Education as a research university with very high research productivity. That means the faculty do the very thing that students will need to do for their future: They create new knowledge and find original solutions to difficult, multi-faceted problems. They don't just recycle old ideas in their classrooms. And through their research, they actively participate in the making of new knowledge; and they do so with the students, as both their audience and their collaborators.

In the short-term, they're coping with an \$813 million deficit in the current fiscal year. All predictions are that next year will be even worse. This has led to a dramatic increase in student fees, faculty and staff pay reductions, and elimination of jobs and programs that contribute to the State. These cuts are real, painful, and felt by every student who must work ten more hours a week to pay for those increased fees, or who can't get classes because so many have been canceled. The cuts are felt by every staff member who could no longer afford to pay both funds and medical bills; and by every lecturer, whose lack of job security was now that much more acute; and by every assistant professor who works 60 hours per week and makes \$19.26 an hour, after 22 years of education and training.

Ms. Cole said these economic conditions were part of a much bigger story. These manifestations of their crisis garner their present attention, but they are not, in the long run, its most troubling and significant dimensions.

What was at stake was the value of students' degree and the prestige of the institution that will grant it. And by "value," she meant partly economic value, evidenced in the actual dollars they will earn because they received such a high quality degree. But she was also talking about values in terms of intellectual capacity this particular college education gives them. Berkeley's world-renowned faculty and their commitment to teaching, research, and public service cultivates the abilities and skills needed to meet the challenges of the present and the future. A university's reputation takes decades to build, but it can be eroded in a very short time. UC will be at the tops of their résumés for the rest of their lives, and student are shareholders in this institution, present and future. They must be vigilant trustees of its reputation.

Ms. Cole said that also at stake was the fundamental question of whether they believe that within the public lies the true power and future of their society. Being a public institution means they depend on the State to provide resources to educate its citizens across the whole socioeconomic spectrum. That trust has been seriously undermined as public funding of UC has declined. The State spending per student, adjusted for inflation and enrollment growth, has fallen nearly 40% since 1990.

She would ask if these cuts were necessary or inevitable given the current economic climate. Many faculty believe these cuts were neither necessary nor inevitable. If UC privatizes, that will mean they'll become a university of predominantly wealthy students. It will also mean their student body will become much more homogeneous, socioeconomically, racially economically, and in so many other regards. That will result in a type of segregation. And segregation was never good. Their education and the reputation of their degree will be impoverished by the loss of the defining feature of UC, the breathtaking diversity of its students.

Ms. Cole said she would ask if the present moment was unprecedented. They were presently experiencing a 20% drop in State funding, certainly a significant sum. However, during the Great Depression, UC weathered an even worse economic storm, a 26% decrease in funding from the State. Their system of shared governance, which is another unique and defining feature of UC, was instrumental in leading UC out of the Great Depression.

Ms. Cole said that what was perhaps without precedent at this moment was the degree and nature of mobilization efforts of all the UC campuses, with coalitions across traditional fault lines that divide staff, faculty, undergrads, and grads, one campus from another, and one union from another. She would venture to guess that this united coalition of solidarity was unprecedented. It was also deeply inspiring. They are the solution to the present crisis. Working together, she believed they could convince the public, students' parents, neighbors, legislators, the Governor, and even a few administrators, that the fundamental features of UC are accessibility, affordability, democratic processes, and public trust; and convince them that the campus' commitment to research, teaching, and public service were not only worth preserving, but were actually the road to a secure, prosperous, and humane future for the State and the nation.

Ms. Cole said she does research in Africa, and a Nigerian Yoruba proverb, that "No condition is permanent." She would ask people to remember that as they listen to the rest of the speakers that evening and take action in the coming weeks. She wanted to thank them. (Applause)

Ms. Cole said the next speaker was Prof. George Lakoff, from Linguistics, talking about how they got into this mess.

Mr. Lakoff said Prop. 13, in the 1970s, came about as a right-wing attempt to cut taxes for the wealthy. But it was seen as an attempt to help little old ladies in '50s who were facing huge taxes as their houses became more expensive. But the real affect of the Prop. 13 was on corporations. It meant that corporations could not have their properties reassessed beyond the 1970 limits. So corporations all over the State are still paying 1970's taxes on property that's ten times as expensive. It now costs about \$5 billion a year in tax revenue.

Secondly, Mr. Lakoff said a two-thirds rule was instituted. In order to increase taxes in a city or in the Legislature, a two-thirds vote was required. That sounds like it's more democratic, like a super majority. But it's the reverse. One-third plus one controls because it can veto. And they can't get a two-thirds vote because one-third will always vote against any sort of revenue through taxes.

Democrats have a majority in the Legislature, but not a two-thirds majority. The result is that the Republican minority controls the Legislature by saying "no" on everything the Democrats want. They're in total control of both the Assembly and the House by a total of four votes.

Mr. Lakoff said he would propose a ballot proposition for the 2010 ballot. They're trying to get it on the ballot. It's one sentence long: "All legislative action on revenue and budget must be determined by a majority vote." Minority rule must end. Most people don't know that the State was run by minority rule. That could change. And it would only take a majority vote on a proposition to change it. That would be crucial not just to the University, but funding elsewhere.

For action, it meant that alumni have to know about this and put pressure on at least four Assembly members and Senators. Alumni were needed who were seriously committed to speaking up locally on a regular basis. People were being taxed at the expense of wealthy people who were not paying their fair share, and corporations. When students' fees are raised, it's really a "parent tax." If they want a tax revolt, their parents should be part of that.

Students can do things about this, and organize and try to raise money to get this on the ballot. It was crucial for all education in the State. The Prop. 13 two-thirds rule is the reason local school boards and cities can't raise money for education. California has gone from one of the best to one of the worst systems, and was competing with Mississippi for the worst educational system because of that rule.

The question was, what was the best way to organize. Students could change it. They will hear that people in the State don't want to fund higher education. That wasn't true, and a majority voted for Democrat legislators who want to fund education. It's the minority that was holding them, all of them, hostage.

Mr. Lakoff said that most people in the State, including legislators, have no idea of what a research university is. It was important for students to tell them. Professors do the original research and write the articles on which other people's textbooks are based. They train grads to become those people and they train undergrads to become those grads. UC sends more people to graduate and professional schools than any other University. He wanted to thank them. (Applause)

Ms. Cole said the next speaker is Prof. Chris Kutz, from the School of Law, and the Chair of the Berkeley Division of the Academic Senate.

Mr. Kutz said he wanted to thank the ASUC. This was his first time before this august body and he was wowed by the energy and excitement in the room. It was stunning. Faculty meetings got a little lively, but nothing like this.

He was asked to talk about what “shared governance” meant. Shared governance might be claimed by other universities in the US, but it was uniquely held at UC Berkeley and was an especially dear and important tradition there. Faculty have very limited decisional authority and control over areas such as approval of courses and eligibility criteria for entering students and degree requirements. For the most part, the authority of the faculty was a right to be consulted and a right to advise the Chancellor or the Chancellor’s delegates. At the Systemwide level, it's with the OP. At the end of the day, that means the UC President decides what happens and faculty have a right to be notified and try to affect the outcome. But they don't get to decide matters. Looking at it as strictly an organization chart, faculty look pathetic.

Faculty can't accept that situation. That’s what most faculties do. Shared governance is not an organizational chart, but how they use very protean ideas that faculty should be consulted and advised in how they engage in this dialogue. When he was in law school a professor said he should read a book by the Harvard Negotiations Project, Getting to Yes, about how to get what one wanted when they were bargaining from a position of unequal strength, didn't have a line of authority, or a bigger gun or threat. It's about finding common ground and figuring out how to move people to see the righteousness, validity, and power of one’s position.

Faculty have been able, over the decades, as they've been engaged in this role, to “get to yes” and have significance on outcomes much of the time, through the techniques of gentle persuasion. They present facts, make arguments and show consequences of what could happen. That’s what shared governance tended to be here, the opportunity to be heard. It didn't mean they had the right to be listened to.

Faculty lost on an issue deeply important to many faculty, that the consequences of the furlough decision should be visible in cancelled teaching days, to let the State know that students were being shortchanged. OP overruled them. But they did get quite a lot, protection of retirement plans for faculty who have retired and a variety of other accommodations on furloughs. They weren't even consulted on the scale of the budget cuts.

The second piece of shared governance was that when they don't have decisional authority, to keep their eye on the longer term. They need to keep coming back and focus on the long-term issue. In the short term, the money was probably not coming back. There are a lot of other desperately needed causes that have gone begging, such as sick children and the elderly. But in the long term, faculty were there not to hear reality, but to shape reality. That couldn't be done in the Senate Chamber or on Sproul, but in the homes of students, with their neighbors, and in conversations with citizens of California.

The reality was nothing more than a legal artifact, a constitutional provision, Prop. 13. Overriding that could happen, and students could do it. They had to keep their eye on the long term and use powers of persuasion they have been given at this great institution. That’s how shared governance, shared with the students, would happen. He wanted to thank them very much. (Applause)

Ms. Cole said the next speaker was senior lecturer Alan Karras, in Political Economy, to talk about how the budget crisis affects lecturers and students.

Mr. Karras said he would like to thank Ms. Cole for organizing this session and thank the ASUC for hosting it. This was a profound crisis for the University and goes to the very core of what public education ought to be in California.

Mr. Karras said he was keenly aware from the students' perspective that there's no difference in the faculty they encounter in the classroom. Lecturers are non-Senate faculty, meaning there's no shared governance or participation in the Academic Senate. That wasn't the case on other campuses. It means lecturers have higher teaching loads, with a standard of six courses per year, rather than the three or four that Senate faculty teach. The average non-Senate faculty across the State earns in the mid-\$40K range, in the low-\$50K range. Many lecturers are part-time, and come and go. There's no tenure or permanent funding.

In 2003, long-term lecturers who were around for six years and who successfully passed an excellence review based solely on the quality of their teaching were promoted to "continuing status" and have no termination date. A year's notice needs to be provided for a total layoff. But a single course can be eliminated with 30-days notice; and with that, 16% of a lecturer's pay. Anything short of a total layoff requires 60-days notice.

Lecturers are not paid to do research, although many do, but are paid to teach. On this campus there are 600 lecturers every year. The vast majority are part-time, with 180-200 continuing lecturers on campus, a small majority of which are full-time. They tend to have been there for quite a while and have demonstrated commitment to service, outreach, and research.

Mr. Karras said that now that students know that such people exist, they should be on the lookout to see who their teachers were.

As for some statistics, across all UC campuses, there were 6,100 Senate faculty teaching 30,000 classes and 1,439 lecturers, teaching much larger classes. Lecturers have not been given a furlough and pay reduction due to a decision of the OP that Senate faculty could not take furloughs on teaching days. Lecturers are paid per course, so there's no way to impose a furlough. The contract for lecturers requires that people in their first six years not be reappointed if there's a budget cut. That meant fewer courses. On this campus, just in L&S, there are between 60-75 fewer lecturers than a year ago, which is the loss of 400 classes.

The University has also issued layoffs to continuing appointees, something it has done before infrequently. The entire college writing program at UCLA was laid off, 67 people, and every lecturer in social sciences at Irvine was laid off, 37 people. At Berkeley, in Engineering, the entire Technical Communications Program was laid off.

Students should be concerned, and it was fairly frightening that this kind of approach could take place. They've seen smaller layoffs in the School of Public Health, the French Department, and Comp. Lit. Departments virtually run by lecturers were being reorganized with abandon. There's been a loss of support as a result of staff layoffs. He couldn't order books because there was no one to do them. Students were yelling at each other over things they had no control over, something he's never seen in 15 years there.

Mr. Karras said they are increasingly seeing the elimination of discussion sessions with teaching assistants. People may have heard of Robert Reich's idea to eliminate TAs and simply offer fewer units. Mr.

Reich knows that wasn't a good idea pedagogically, but was something that could be done. In many programs, including his own, TA support was being eliminated. In the 15 years he's been there, this was unprecedented.

Mr. Karras said students were being shortchanged. They need to be active in this and take action, and not accept what they're being told was acceptable for a public university. He wanted to thank them. (Applause)

Ms. Cole said the next speaker was Prof. Brian Barsky, from Electrical Engineering and Computer Sciences, speaking on "Show Me the Money: Student Fees and Intercollegiate Athletics."

Mr. Barsky said he wanted to thank the ASUC and Prof. Cole. He'd focus on a narrow topic that was very intertwined with what they're seeing. He teaches Computer Science students at the upper division and grad level and for many years never had any interaction with Intercollegiate Athletics, and was woefully ignorant about it. He learned that student athletes get an earlier Tele-BEARS date than the rest of the students. He asked how many there knew that, and noted that the students were much more savvy. University and Regents Scholars at UCLA get earlier Tele-BEARS. This brought up a question of priorities.

The more he looked around the more surprised at how naive he was. He was not talking about Recreational Sports, which was very underfunded, but about the Department of Intercollegiate Athletics. It's not an academic department but competes for resources with every other department. And its budget exceeds just about every other budget on campus.

Mr. Barsky said he was astonished to find out that the Department loses money big time, in the order of about \$10 million a year. The Administration obfuscates that by talking in terms of half of that in ways he still didn't understand. The campus talks about a campus subsidy, \$3-7 million on average, and a deficit of \$4-5 million. That sort of adds up to \$10M.

Student Registration Fees go to student Intercollegiate Athletics. Part of the way IA's shortfall is made up is by campus subsidies. He personally felt that was an inappropriate priority, at any time, and especially so in the current circumstances. And \$2 million coming out of Reg Fees has been true as far back as he could find that information, which hasn't been easy.

If they look at Reg Fees, there are approximately 30 line items contained on the list. The number one item was University Health Services. Number two was Intercollegiate Athletics. Much further down the list was the faculty athletics representative, something he's gotten contradictory information about. It's the office or person who interacts with the NCAA. Another item is the Athletic Study Center, which helps students get through their program. The percentage of football players who graduate was at the 50% level in the Pac-10. Cal was number nine.

Mr. Barsky said he wanted to focus on the \$2 million in Reg Fees going to IA. That's \$70 for every student on campus. Students might want to think about what they want to do about that. It's \$2 million going into a financially failing program with a \$10 million deficit every year. If they add up the total faculty salaries, he believed that would be less than what the football coach makes. The football coach is paid the highest salary on campus and was the highest-paid State employee in the UC System. Mr. Barsky said he wanted to thank them. (Applause)

Ms. Cole said the next speaker was Fiona Doyle, from Material Science and Engineering, and the Vice Chair of the Berkeley Division of the Academic Senate.

Ms. Doyle said she would like to thank them for giving her the opportunity to speak with them. She's been at Berkeley for over 26 years and could not imagine working anywhere else. She was deeply committed to the principle of public education. She's also a Cal mom, with a daughter who's a freshman in L&S. So she felt the pain students' families felt when fees go up and when students can't get into classes.

Ms. Doyle said she wanted to focus on educating Californians on the role of UC. The voters of California don't really understand the value of the University. More money was being put into prisons than into higher education, an appalling situation. Much of the press given to UC has been sensational reporting of fiscal improprieties, rather than focusing on all the wonderful things the University does. She didn't condone the improprieties, but it was very important to face up to prioritizing the message that needed to get out, the value of the University to the people of California.

Ms. Doyle said they need to make sure voters understand the need to maintain the quality of UC for their children and grandchildren, a treasure that belongs to the people of California. It was singularly short-sighted to sell it off to pay for short-term needs. The damage done will take decades to repair. UC drives the economic engine of California by providing a skilled workforce and through the technical innovations it develops, which create new businesses, jobs, and tax revenue. It enriches lives in countless ways through its contributions in the arts, humanities, scientific discoveries, and medical advances. It vastly improves the quality of life for all Californians.

A special message had to go out to their hundreds of thousands of alumni. One message to alumni was of social justice. Alumni got a fantastic education that was subsidized by taxpayers and they owe it to future generations to use the fiscal benefits of their education to ensure the same opportunities are available to future generations.

Ms. Doyle said the value of alumni's degrees depends upon the prestige of the University. She didn't believe the University will be able to maintain its standard. If its quality declines, alumni would suffer as well. So they have a personal stake in the quality of the University.

People had to do everything they could to get this word out. It has problems, but UC was an incomparable asset. She hoped the students join in making sure it was available to generations to come. She wanted to thank them. (Applause)

Ms. Cole said their final speaker was Prof. Ananya Roy, from City and Regional Planning, who will talk about what was being planned for September 24.

Ms. Roy said she wanted to thank the Senate for this invitation. She felt this crisis as a faculty and UC alumnus. Her concern about the restructuring of the University, particularly this campus wasn't new. Last year she resigned as Associate Dean of International and Area Studies in protest of what she believed was the Executive Vice Chancellor and Provost's heavy-handed restructuring of that unit. The ASUC Senate was a valuable ally in that struggle.

The public character of the University was in crisis, which was the issue of access and whether students of economically disadvantaged families. It was also the story of a middle-class crunch. A common story

among students was being unable to afford their education. The issue of access also dealt with students who come from families of wealth and political privilege. There's a great mingling that happens on campus. It's places like this where political and sociological hierarchies are reworked.

Ms. Roy said the second crisis was of a "differentiated education," as she called it. That's a proliferation of differentiated fees levied by different departments and colleges. UCOP presented to the Regents a differential fee imposed on upper division undergrads in particular disciplines such as Business and Engineering. A two-tier system was beginning to emerge. Bob Reich, an eminent teacher, was told that because of budget cuts, he couldn't have enough GSIs and would have to offer a two-tiered course. The full version would have discussion sections and access to GSIs and a low-budget section, for 2 units, without access to discussion sections and GSIs. She feared that will become the rule rather than the exception.

Ms. Roy said the third crisis was also a government crisis. They need to think about new governance models. She wasn't ready to write off the Academic Senate yet, but it was clear that in a state of emergency, they had to think about the power their bodies of governance did or didn't have. Non-Senate faculty, who do quite a bit of undergraduate teaching weren't even represented in the system of shared governance. And students had to ask how they were to even get to the table. The crisis was not just about cuts, but how cuts were implemented. Students could think of themselves only as consumers of their education. But they had to make sure they get what they're paying for, because their education will be most important thing they pay for. The system of governance on the campus and in the UC System gives only a few legitimacy, voice, and a seat at the table.

Ms. Roy said the work that needed to be done wouldn't be done in a single day of walk-outs or teach-ins, but will require stamina for the long road ahead. September 24 was a start. The UC Student Association passed a compelling Resolution, which gave her great hope because its main message was solidarity. She believed the two guiding themes for September 24 were action and solidarity.

They have to address three important themes: A call for an end to rising fees, the protection of the lowest paid workers in their community, and an end to emergency powers. Solidarity was crucial because they had to do this together and across UC campuses. She couldn't remember another time when the UCSA called for a walkout. It was unprecedented. On September 23, Wednesday evening, there will be a teach-in. On September 24 there will be union-led strikes and picket lines, and a solidarity rally on Sproul at noon. Senate and non-Senate faculty will also engage in a range of actions.

The question for students was whether or not to walkout. This has been portrayed by the media as faculty upset about furloughs. She personally didn't know what it would mean for her to take a furlough, and if it meant she wouldn't answer students' emails or read the drafts of their dissertations.

Ms. Roy said one big crisis they face was the tactics of division. Students will be told that rising fees were the only thing that would keep the faculty there. Some faculty will be told that staff had to be laid off so faculty wouldn't have to be furloughed. Solidarity will be crucial and may be the most valuable resource they have going forward.

Their biggest challenge will be what they do after September 24. Some people involved in the election of Pres. Obama have said they felt the energy dissipate. People had to keep this energy alive after September 24. She wanted to thank them. (Applause)

Mr. Tran said they would take questions, and would first take questions from the public.

Ricardo said he's a third-year ISF student. He asked Ms. Roy if she felt faculty were ready to walkout, and how students could get their professors to walkout. Ms. Roy said she didn't want to speak on behalf of faculty. She didn't know if they were ready or not. But students often lead, and teach faculty. Faculty were concerned, whether or not they walkout. People should honor other forms of solidarity actions. The litmus test wasn't whether somebody walked out. That's where they could build alliances around.

A speaker said students and the unions have brought up the issue of the Regents and introducing a mechanism of holding them accountable for their decisions. He asked if faculty were ready and willing to work to change the rules by which the Board of Regents operated and were selected. Mr. Karras said non-Senate faculty union have discussed that. They've been talking to Leeland Yee about his bill, as one option. It was hard to address in a system of minority rule and where Regents are appointed for 12 years, typically businessmen with no experience in education.

Ms. Foyle said an advisory committee was supposed to be consulted in selecting the Regents, and faculty have a representative; but she didn't know if people were aware of that.

A speaker said that in a time of crisis, the population tries to find an enemy to unite behind. He asked how students could talk with their peers and not focus on a direct power, but work together in their separate communities to create greater change and more critical thought on this budget process. Mr. Lakoff said besides budget cuts, people have talked about central issues defined by the mission of a public research university. "Public" meant not private. There's a move towards privatizing the University by raising tuition and bringing in students from the outside. The Governor from the beginning wanted to privatize the University, as did Ronald Reagan. That was an idea that had to be fought by the opposite idea, that of a public university. Dean Chris Edley has proposed computerized lecturers and maybe having sections. That would mean students wouldn't be educated by the people doing the research or grads who were learning to be the next generation to do the research. It meant they weren't being taught to think creatively. People have to explain what an education is at a public research university, and what those students become, which is people who made the State what it was. And then the question was what in the State had to be changed, which was minority rule, and then what had to be changed within the University, which was the priorities of the Administration. He studies metaphors, and one was that students were products, and their education was a product. If so, it could be thought of in corporate terms, asking the most efficient way to produce the product. That's not what the University does, which was to create an educated community. The speaker said that didn't deal with students who just point fingers at people in power. Mr. Lakoff said pointing fingers didn't help.

A speaker said he was in the School of Public Health and didn't know a single member of his faculty who will go on strike or who was sympathetic to the strike, or has expressed interest in backing it. The main reason was that faculty, with have so many marvelous privileges, sabbaticals, freedom to work on things of interest in them, and huge numbers of vacation days, would be taking venom on their students over the 8% cut. It was outrageous, that an academic ladder faculty member would do such a thing. He couldn't believe that. Also, about the point of this being a research university, there are many different parts of the entire University. Berkeley and UCLA are research universities. His observation on this campus was that undergraduates get the short end. It is biased towards the best interests of graduate students. There are students who can't get the courses they want because faculty doing research don't want to teach those courses. The situation was much more complicated than he's heard. But faculty should not take out on their students the venom they felt towards the Regents, the Governor, or anybody else.

Mary June Flores introduced herself and said she was a senior in Asian American Studies and Ethnic Studies. A lot of students were feeling the fee increases. There were some proposals to address that, whether a fee freeze or a high-fee/high-aid model. She asked if people on the panel had a preference. Mr. Kutz said the proposal before the Regents would have a high return to aid. Students whose families earn under \$50,000 would be protected. The increase would hit hard middle-class students. It's better than high fees and no return to aid. He wished they were in a situation where a fee freeze was possible. But they face enormous cuts to funding. The consequence of not doing anything about the shortfall would be devastating. He didn't endorse a very high fee increase, but he thought that is what they needed to do in the short-term, given the crisis before the University. Other areas of revenue could be pursued. One thing he's been involved in that he thought was very important was non-educational processes, such as purchasing and student living expenses. Reducing costs was a major issue. He didn't think a fee freeze was on the table.

Mr. Karras said there was an issue of transparency. Before they talk about fee increases they need more information. Unions can request information from the University. UC's budget was \$20 billion, and the State's contribution was 17-18% of that. The 20% cut was from that 17-18%. He wanted to know why there were 20% cuts on each campus and why fees were being raised. People didn't have information to make a choice. Students could also request information, and not just salaries, but analyzing things and figuring out what they need to ask.

A speaker said that undergraduates were dealing with a reduction in the hours they were instructed. He asked why the walkout was the plan of action decided upon as the be most beneficial, as opposed to another form of action that would not impact instruction time. Ms. Roy said she didn't know if there was a single clarion call for a walkout from the faculty. There were multiple solidarity actions planned for the 24th. It's been designated by staff, students, and faculty across the UC campuses as a day of action. She thought it was misplaced to call it a day of walkout. The range of actions vary. There will be a "solidarity" rally, not a "walkout" rally. Some faculty will walkout, others will talk about the crisis. The faculty were not the vanguard and the energy lies with the students. There was also the issue of the unions, which have requested that people honor picket lines.

Mr. Lakoff said that Save the University, the faculty organization hosting the action, voted to have a teach-in on the 23rd rather than a walkout. The action on the 24th was being run by unions. Faculty don't support the walkout but the actions of the unions. But the most important thing was what the students did.

Ms. Cole said the foundations of their education were being eroded. It was about making that visible to everyone in the UC System and the State. That was the only way they'd turn the tide. September 24 was just one day, and was about consciousness raising.

The speaker said the inclusion of grads and undergrads has been minimal.

A speaker asked about political mobilization about reforming Prop. 13. Mr. Lakoff said the leaders of the Democrat Party don't want that on the ballot. A poll was conducted by the Progressive Policy Institute that asked people if they would rather have higher taxes and more services or lower taxes and fewer services. The result was predictable. But what they didn't ask was if people thought the State should be run by minority rule. To do they the need a poll, which costs \$35,000. They're working with unions to do that. There's also an organization working on this, and a Facebook page, "Majority Rule California."

Ms. Nguyen said a few ASUC Senators were working on increasing study space. They would like to know how the ASUC could become an ally. Mr. Karras said the ASUC could contact the librarian steward and the Faculty Senate Library Committee.

A speaker said she was a junior transfer and had friends at other campuses. She asked if there were any resources she could give them because other schools haven't started yet. Mr. Karras said the 24th was the first day of classes for other campuses. That's why a union chose that particular day. Material was being developed by different campuses. Ms. Cole said best gateway she found was Chris Newfield's blog at UC Santa Barbara. UCLA has also put together a useful Web page.

A speaker said he's a second-year Sociology major involved in athletics. He works for the Intramural Department and hasn't missed a football game or a men's basketball game at Cal. Jim Calhoun is the head basketball coach at Connecticut and was asked if, as the highest paid official in Connecticut involved in education, he would sacrifice his salary for the University. His argument was that he wouldn't, because of the money generated. With information that the Athletics Department loses \$10 million a year, the speaker asked what solutions they could make to balance that, and asked what they should do if they were ignored. Mr. Barsky said he saw the answer that Mr. Calhoun gave, and it was something to the effect of, "Shut up." It was interesting to see how the highest-paid State employee answered a reasonable question.

Mr. Barsky said that speaking personally, it seemed that the first step was that Intercollegiate Athletics, a self-supporting entity, should be held to that and should not get Reg Fees or funds from the Chancellor. The expenditures were lavish. One Ph.D. student said she wouldn't give to the University because she saw a police escort taking the Cal football team from the Claremont Hotel to the Stadium before the game.

Mr. Kutz said Tedford's salary is high, with \$200,000 coming from the State and the rest paid by alumni boosters specifically for that. As soon as the furlough plan was announced, Tedford said he wanted to be furloughed as well. Because of the agreement with coaches, a furlough would have to be voluntary. Two teams make money, football and men's basketball. They generate revenues in excess of their costs, and the other programs, involving roughly 870 students, lose money.

Mr. Barsky said that there's an effort made to get people to donate just enough to cover coaching salaries. Whether that's at the expense of the academic program was controversial. That's \$14 million, in a budget of \$16 million. So there's a shortfall, and it was fungible. He would ask the students to maybe have one action, and to not have \$2 million taken from Reg Fees to support Athletics.

Ms. Carlton asked about the University's reasoning for prioritizing sports over academics and why that hasn't been a focus in budget cuts. Ms. Cole said Berkeley had mediocre sports teams, and about 10 or 15 years ago, a commission advised the Chancellor about this, and a decision was made to improve the standing of UC in intercollegiate athletics. As a result, the University started to spend a lot more money, and the deficits in Athletics started at that point. It's a volatile enterprise. When the football team wins, the overall enterprise does well. If it's a rainy season, deficits increase. They largely grow revenue through television rights, and it wasn't a priority of spending.

Mr. Barsky said the total shortfall, according to his numbers, has varied between \$7-13 million. He didn't understand why their priorities were out of whack.

Mr. Lakoff said the University Administration sets priorities. Students could ask for a change in priority, and not have donations for intercollegiate athletics be requested until the academic side was fully funded. It was assumed that if the University did well, citizens and legislators would want to fund it. That turned out to not be true. The third assumption was that that athletics would bring in more money, and that turned out to not be true. So all the usual assumptions about why to have expensive intercollegiate athletics turned out to not be true. It might be useful to ask the Administration if those were the real assumptions, and if there were any others. There are books talking about the “myth” of intercollegiate athletics, and that it's a myth that they bring in money. They could look at [budgetcrisis.berkeley.edu](http://budgetcrisis.berkeley.edu).

A speaker said he heard a proposal over the summer about UCX, an online university, but she hasn't heard anything about it since. He asked if that was a direction they were headed. Mr. Katz said it was UC-ten. There's a lot of attention on this, especially at UCOP and the Governor's office. The Governor's college degree was from correspondence school, from the University of Wisconsin. The proposal Dean Edley is developing was that UC should compete with the University of Phoenix and community colleges and offer courses online to expand access. Mr. Lakoff said it would also be a way to raise revenue. He asked what the difference was between teaching 700 people in Wheeler and having an online lecture. Mr. Katz said he thought that idea was ghastly because that's not what teaching at a research university was about. Dean Edley has said that students don't take notes or pay attention in class any way.

Mr. Lakoff said this was something students ought to weigh in on.

A speaker asked if any ASUC elected representatives knew of any talk about having a march in Sacramento or a day of advocacy with CSUs and community colleges. Ms. Haber said she was the ASUC External Affairs VP. The UCSA passed a Resolution supporting the faculty walkout and talked about weeks of action to preserve Cal Grants, and making them a mandatory part of the State budget, since it was almost cut. To do that, they want to have a joint action with CSUs, community colleges, and private schools. The walkout provides a foundation to find allies for things they want to do.

Mr. Smelko introduced himself and said that as a personal anecdote, he was talking to his uncle, a long time UC professor, who told him about an associate who was nominated for a teaching award back in the 1980s. However, the professor declined the award because he feared that he might not get tenure if the Administration thought he was too good a teacher and wasn't focusing on research. So he'd wonder who their advocates were and how they'd make sure what they want wasn't lost in the mix among people advocating for more research money and their own concerns.

Mr. Karras said he thought students had the ability to advocate and go to campus administrators saying they couldn't get classes, their classes were overcrowded, and the quality of instruction was bad. Administrators would listen. It wasn't just one person going in, but had to be systemic, documented, and articulated. Mr. Lakoff said that students have power in groups. They could make series of appointments, fill administrators' schedules with these issues, day after day, asking the same things. That was how students effect change, because administrators care about what they hear.

Mr. Katz said that administrators are impressed with students, as long as students don't yell at them. Students have moral authority. Mr. Lakoff said that was the case if they're in groups, are well informed, know what they want to ask for, and have their arguments set out.

Mr. Tran said he would like to thank the speakers for attending the meeting. They promised not to keep them more than two and-a-quarter hours. (Applause and standing ovation)

Mr. Tran said the Chair would entertain a motion for a ten-minute recess. It was so moved and seconded and passed with no objection.

Back in session, Ms. Carlton called for a quorum. Mr. Tran said they had a quorum. He asked Senators to please take their seats.

Mr. Tran said the Chair would entertain a motion to move into Appointments. It was so moved and seconded by Mr. Deleon and Ms. Nguyen and passed with no objection.

### APPOINTMENTS

Mr. Tran said the first appointment was Web Developer, Fharzin Hemmati, with a \$1,000 stipend. A motion to approve was made and seconded. Ms. Tang objected.

Mr. Patel said that Mr. Hemmati was at the Fi-Comm meeting to present himself as a candidate. He was in the position last year and did a lot of good work and worked tirelessly over the summer to update the Web site.

Ms. Cohen said that as a classmate of Mr. Hemmati, she knew he was a hard worker.

THE MOTION TO APPROVE FHARZIN HEMMATI AS WEB MASTER, WITH A \$1,000 STIPEND, PASSED WITH NO OBJECTION.

Mr. Tran said the next appointment was for Ms. Lieb as Fundraising Co-Director. Mr. Franco moved to table until next week. The motion was seconded by Ms. Carlton. THE MOTION TO TABLE APPOINTMENT OF THE FUNDRAISING CO-DIRECTOR UNTIL NEXT WEEK PASSED WITH NO OBJECTION.

Mr. Tran said the next appointment to consider was of Miraya Berke, as Fundraising Co-Director. Ms. Berke said she's a second year, an intended Business major, and hoped to work in advertising or marketing. She's been involved in SUPERB and the Dance Marathon. She was a top fundraiser, raising over \$700 for pediatric AIDS. She got prizes donated from local businesses and big corporations. She had a lot of good ideas. She didn't want to be as much a fundraiser, but to provide resources for groups. to do their own fundraising. She created a blog she'll update twice a week with fundraising ideas, asucfundraising.blogspot.com. She also planned to hold workshops and help groups collaborate with fundraising and to work with the Office of Student Affairs and the rest of the ASUC to make sure they deliver what they can to the clubs. She also planned to work with local businesses, like House of Curries, to give part of their proceeds to student organizations.

Mr. Tran said the Chair would entertain a motion to approve. It was so moved and seconded. THE MOTION TO APPROVE MIRAYA BERKE AS FUNDRAISING CO-DIRECTOR PASSED WITH NO OBJECTION.

Mr. Tran said the next appointment to consider was Suzanne Wen and Andrew Hom as Intellectual Community Fund Co-Directors. Ms. Wen said she's a fourth year Integrative Biology major. She was an intern last year in Grants, for the AOF, under Delenie Wong, who is a Director this year. She learned about the interview process and the Grants Department. Grants will be online that year.

Andrew Hom introduced himself and said he was a second year. He interned for Ernst & Young tax audit and a trust corporation, in 401(k)s. He worked on the Issues Committee in the AAA on such events as Rock the Vote. He also worked with the Men's Chorale and Christian organizations.

Mr. Patel asked what they saw as the function of this grant and what kinds of things they saw this grant funding. Ms. Wen said it would fund anything that stimulated intellectual discussion and benefitted the student community intellectually. In the past its funded workshops and guest speakers.

Mr. Patel said that from his understanding, there was also a community aspect and asked if they could address that. Mr. Hom said that in the past there was a symposium with pharmaceutical professionals asked to come; and the community was involved. They would like to help with other events that didn't just focus on the Berkeley campus, but the Bay Area as well.

Ms. Nava moved to call the question. The motion to end debate was seconded and passed with no objection. THE MOTION TO APPROVE THE APPOINTMENTS OF SUZANNE WEN AND ANDREW HOM AS CO-DIRECTORS OF THE INTELLECTUAL COMMUNITY FUND PASSED WITH NO OBJECTION.

Mr. Tran said the next appointment to consider was of Jasmine Tuadi, as Environmental Sustainability Co-Director. Ms. Tuadi said she was a fourth year, a double major in Economics and Environmental Policy. One experience she had was when her town flooded with five or six feet of water, and the town needed leadership. She worked closely in the town's recovery efforts, and learned how to centralize people and respond to inquiries. She felt these skills were transferable to student government and coordinating people. As for her plans, she wanted to coordinate leaders in environmental groups and work together on projects, so people knew what each other was doing, to help each other and help get information out.

A motion to approve was made and seconded. THE MOTION TO APPROVE JASMINE TUADI AS ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY CO-DIRECTOR PASSED WITH NO OBJECTION.

Mr. Tran said the next appointment was for Joe Mazella for the Greek Affairs Officer. Mr. Mazella said he's a fourth-year History major. He's been in the Greek community since his first semester and got involved on the larger Greek community when he was appointed President of Sigma Pi Fraternity as a sophomore, Spring Semester. After that year term, he was well acquainted with how the Greek community worked, all four Councils. His intention for this position was to expand the Greek community's efforts within the group and work on partnerships with other groups in school-wide events. With the OP, they're working on a bone marrow registration drive during Greek Week, which will involve all four Councils. Last semester he was Philanthropy Chair of his fraternity and became acquainted with the Greek Opportunity Fund. He would like to work on ways to help fraternities benefit larger groups of people.

Special Order of the Day -- Presentation by Tom Devlin, Director of the Career Center

Ms. Nava asked if he's worked with the Multicultural Greek Council. Mr. Mazella said he hasn't, but has been trying to work with all four Councils for Greek Week.

A motion to approve was made and seconded. THE MOTION TO APPROVE JOE MAZELLA AS GREEK AFFAIRS OFFICER PASSED WITH NO OBJECTION.

Mr. Tran said the Chair would entertain a motion to move back to the Special Order on the Career Center. It was so moved and seconded and passed with no objection.

SPECIAL ORDER OF THE DAY -- Presentation by Tom Devlin, director of the Career Center

Mr. Devlin introduced himself and said he was the Director of the Career Center. He's been there about 11 years. Before this he spent a number of years running an Ivy League career center and came to Berkeley with the challenge of revitalizing the Career Center there.

The Career Center hits every student and faculty and was pretty comprehensive. They help people find priorities in what they want to do, including internships and employment, part-time and summer, or post-graduate employment. They also help students with the admissions process for graduate and professional schools, with an emphasis on medicine and law. They work with freshman, Ph.Ds., and recent alumni, in all different colleges.

Mr. Devlin said the Career Center had to raise half its budget themselves. There have been no new fees imposed on students at the Career Center since he got there. And many fees, have, in fact, evaporated. Students pay enough to come to UC Berkeley.

The Career Center has a staff of about 40 people. One-third were counselors. Their primary stakeholders were students, but they deal with employers, academic departments, grad and professional schools, and alumni.

Mr. Devlin said they focus on technology and the Career Center was probably one of the most technological centers in the country. Appointments are scheduled online. Their Web site is robust. Last year they had 130,000 hits. They have to partner to reach a broad range of the campus community, such as with John Tran, the Academic Affairs VP. On September 30 there will be a "Turn the Tables Fair," where 60 student organizations will be behind tables with employers coming to Pauley and talking to them about how they might sponsor them or develop partnerships or speaking engagements. Hopefully they could do something like this every semester. Seventy-five to 100 student groups co-sponsor events throughout the year.

Their third focus was customer service. They're interested in giving first-class customer service, above the Berkeley bar.

Mr. Devlin asked how many people have been in the Career Center and used its services, and noted that a good number had. The public areas of the Career Center were very professional. The students there were

the best students in California and probably across the country, and they should have the best service. He's been in the Ivy League and Berkeley students were far smarter.

Mr. Devlin said they also help with things like how to write a résumé, how to network, and how to interview. These are online, in videos. And there will be more services coming from the Career Center. He wanted to better understand their customers and to deliver service to them.

He distributed a student guide about Career Center services and a publication dealing with external agencies they hope to generate funds from.

Mr. Devlin said he wanted to spend the majority of his time hearing from the people there and what they did and didn't like about the Career Center, and their needs and expectations.

Mr. Stern asked about the best way to get the word out about the Career Center. Mr. Devlin said the Career Center was "out of sight, out of mind." Compared to career centers at other major universities, the amount of traffic coming in every day was dismal. He's advocated moving the Career Center to campus, but that has been a long-time obstacle. The Senate was the heart of the campus and could spread the word. They're in different discussions with student groups and administrators. The Career Center was not a hot topic, so anytime they could advocate it or encourage a student to go there or to the Web site would be helpful.

Ms. Kim asked what outreach has been to new students, such as in residence halls. Mr. Devlin said they find 85% of the student body uses the Career Center. They're at Cal-SO and give a presentation, and the presentation on finding part-time employment was over-subscribed. Peer Counselors work with RAs on a variety of programs. They also have a career portal that people subscribe to. They send out about 100,000 emails a month on specific career areas. For freshmen, the Center tries to acquaint them with the services rather than persuade them to use them, at this point. More resources are put towards juniors and seniors, especially with budget cuts.

Mr. Franco asked if they've thought of doing mini-carts on Sproul or at the library, with Peer Advisors on the spot, such as L&S does, and maybe having an information kiosk once a month. Mr. Devlin said they were probably the first on the campus to do that. They had satellite offices in 12 or 15 places on campus. But they had to pull back because they didn't have the traffic. However, they do have six spots, including locations at Engineering and Haas. They also thought about renting an office in MLK, but would have been charged, and would only have had a minimal level of service there. They would have gotten questions that no one person could answer.

Mr. Lee asked in what ways student groups could use the Career Center. Mr. Devlin said one thing that would dramatically change accessibility would be to move the Career Center to the campus. At times the ASUC has been supportive, and at times, an obstacle. He was committed to getting it closer to campus. If they feel a career center was important to their student life, he would ask where their voices will be when things get tight that year. Vice Chancellor Harry LeGrande was supportive, but the Center was not a hot topic. Last year the GA or the ASUC ranked student services, and in terms of student need, the Career Center was among the top three or four. The student voice in these difficult times would be very important. The Career Center was very entrepreneurial and raised half its budget.

Mr. Devlin said he was actually more interested in criticism, and why the Center wasn't doing something, or wasn't doing something better.

Ms. Kim asked about services after graduation. Mr. Devlin said they have a fee of \$125 for all services for alumni. If he didn't charge them, he would have to take away resources from students. They have about 1,000 alumni registered, people who have graduated within five years.

Mr. Franco asked what other outreach or collaboration they've done in res halls, such as with the academic unit in RSSP or career advisors. Mr. Devlin said he was encouraging more of that.

Mr. Tran said he would like to thank Mr. Devlin staying until such a late hour. Mr. Devlin said he would like to thank them. (Applause)

### Report from the President

Mr. Smelko said Berkeley Project registration was still open. The date will be October 10. He had one appointment, for the office Bookstore Director, Deena Abuyounes. She's already met with Jeff Deutsch and has worked with the Bookstore to make it more profitable.

There will be a Bone Marrow Drive on October 2 in Heller, from 9:00 to 5:00. A former Cal alum has a son who survived due to bone marrow registration at Berkeley and made a donation. T-shirts have been purchased to donate \$1,000. A non-profit organized agreed to purchase all publicity-related items, so this was a relatively free event that will hopefully save lives.

Mr. Smelko said money has been set aside by the campus to help hire a consultant for Lower Sproul to analyze what was necessary. He thought the consultant will be a huge friend to the students. Regarding finances, they're getting closer to a campus contribution. They'll find out how much the campus was willing to contribute, and the numbers keep going up.

Mr. Smelko said the SOB subcommittee will meet that weekend. He's also talked to administrators about library hours about keeping Pauley open from 2 a.m. to 7 a.m. during finals, and helping vendors stay open.

Regarding the Judicial Council, they're going through applications and he'll make an appointment next week. If people want to make recommendations for interviews, they should do so. Regarding the Finance Officer, they'll have interviews on Monday. He would ask Senators to please attend, ask questions, and provide input. Interviews will be from 2:00 to 5:00. They'll send out an e-mail.

Mr. Smelko said the Outreach Department will work with Karyn Houston to update the ASUC logo. The current logo, with the Campanile, was kind of boring. They use a lot of different logos, so they'll work on unifying that to have a standardized ASUC logo and brand. Also, they're working with the AAVP to have a speaker series.

Regarding the Bookstore, Jeff Deutsch agreed to have a banner on the north side advertising the lowest price guarantee. Ned's has a sign, across the street, saying "Why pay more?" They put the Bookstore's guarantee on the shelves above books and made that very visible. Doing that has been extremely successful.

Mr. Smelko said they're going to have a party to watch the Oregon game, September 26. Spirit groups will provide entertainment and they hope to have possibly have Lower Sproul vendors and businesses stay open. They're also working with cable sponsors on that.

Mr. Smelko said there will be a 5-K race during Big Game Week, and if they get over 1,000 people to participate, the RSF might give them \$500 back. He called for any questions.

Mr. Gala asked if the Bookstore's price guarantee also applied online. Mr. Smelko they couldn't compete with Amazon, but they'll match any brick-and-mortar store's price in Berkeley. It was impossible to compete against the Amazon model. It was amazing how many professors give their books solely to Ned's, and how many people shop there, because they think it's a local business, whereas it's a company of Nebraska Books.

Ms. Tang asked about money from the campus for Lower Sproul. Mr. Smelko they're trying to conceptualize how much money the campus would be willing to pay for Lower Sproul. Having a referendum by students for \$250 million was a little unrealistic. The campus was saying it could raise about \$30 million in a 30-year financing model. People were looking at how student money would be funneled.

Mr. Franco asked what the timeline was for the ASUC logo. Mr. Smelko said it was as soon as people could get that together. They want to get student groups involved. So it won't be quick and neat. They conceptualize having a new look for the new year.

Ms. Tang asked if the Finance Officer application was still open. Mr. Smelko said it wasn't. Ms. Tang said she believed announcements were made in classes on Tuesday. Mr. Tran said they only received one application by the deadline, which was extended to that day at 5 p.m.

Ms. Tang asked if it was possible to change library hours to keep library hours open 24/7 during finals. Mr. Smelko said there was difficulty with staffing.

#### Report from the Executive Vice President

With Mr. Woo chairing the meeting, Mr. Tran said the lawyer Selection Committee had to be appointed that evening. Also, the Elections Council Chair Selection Committee needed two GA students. The MOU with the GA never changed the By-laws, which he would recommend that Con-Review do, to make them consistent. Mr. Daal will make his appointments as soon as possible.

Mr. Tran said that Senators were matched with interns who didn't state a preference. The intern program will start the week of September 28, with a mixer on Tuesday, September 29, in the Senate Chamber. Leadership development was up to Senators, and they should show their interns the experience they deserve.

Regarding the Cal online student experience, Mr. Tran said they want to streamline Web sites so systems communicate more. They hope to have marked improvements by the end of the year. The long-term goal

was to prove to campus administrators that if students' fees increase, it needed to be easier for students to navigate Web sites and access resources quickly and hassle-free.

Mr. Tran said that Student Affairs will partner with CLL and have a huge portal.

The Lower Sproul committee will meet on Thursday at 8:00 in 206 Dwinelle. They want to lay out the timeline for the Senate and the GA to take action and possibly have a Senate Resolution supporting the timeline.

Regarding space improvements and affordability, Mr. Tran said that he, Sens. Patel and Bhatt, and directors, talked about moving forward after their meeting with the Cal Performances Director. They decided to host a summit and invite performance-based groups to figure out priorities and what they'd like to fight tooth and nail for, that was legitimate and would be treated seriously by the Administration.

Mr. Tran said he will meet with the CIO, with Mr. J. Tran, the GA President, and the Tech Chair. They'll talk to the CIO about how students feel about the way the student tech council was structured, and their belief that it was philosophically wrong. There's no ASUC or GA voting member. It felt like Shelton Waggener was creating a technology council that he'd train and then ask for advice as to what fees to levy. Mr. Waggener needs more separation in order to have diversity of opinion. The students asked the Chancellor to write a letter on why the ASUC should have voting members on advisory committees, especially those that deal with fee increases and the student experience. The campus wants to earmark \$200 each year to technology. They need student support for the tech fee, so the students will use that as leverage. The students want more flexibility on how Reg Fees are used, instead of earmarking them.

Mr. Tran said the EVP's office was doing well. Grace Shen decorated it. They're having inter-office meetings with Executive chiefs on a bimonthly basis for coordination.

Ms. Kwon asked if the deadline for applications was still rolling. Mr. Tran said it was.

#### Report from the Academic Affairs Vice President

Mr. J. Tran said that all five departments were working well, Academic Programs, Academic Services, Grants, Policy, and Internal. Things were going well. They've had a handful of applications for grants, mostly AOF or EEF. They attended grants allocation awards meetings that week. These meetings are meant to orient people. Cal Link was slated for September 18, for people to use to apply for grants. People should get back to the office about their use of Cal Link in order to help the average student figure out how to apply for grants using the new system. A grant workshop will be held next Tuesday in the Senate Chamber at 6 p.m.

Mr. J. Tran said the deadline for applications for campus committees was Friday, September 11. They received 50 applicants for 30 positions. People could come into the office and talk about these committees. They had an amazing Academic Senate training session, with Prof. Fiona Doyle present, the Academic Senate Vice Chair, along with a previous Student Regent.

Mr. J. Tran said that on Monday he met Vice Provost for Campus and Academic Planning Facilities, Kathy Koshland, and talked about the library and about using Pauley as a potential venue for study space. Ms. Koshland might be willing to give some funding for that purpose. They also negotiated on extending classroom hours in Barrows and Dwinelle, extending operational hours in those buildings to make more study and social space available. They also talked about R&R days. One flaw was that while teachers were told what to expect, very little attention was given to students. He pushed Ms. Koshland to make sure that students were informed of any changes that occur, as well as faculty. They're also talking about opening up the Ana Head space.

There should be Moffitt Library renovation and Dwinelle refurbishment by Christmas. The undergraduate research forum has been moved to the fall, an event to talk to students and faculty involved in undergraduate research.

Mr. J. Tran said the Biology Majors Fair happened on Friday and it was very successful. They served 4-500 students during the three hours youth were open, a lot of freshmen and sophomores.

#### Report from the External Affairs Vice President

Ms. Haber said the UCSA Board met that weekend at UCLA. They passed a Resolution In Support of the September 24 Walkout. She would ask Senators to please read it. The language was really strong and the Board took a lot of time writing it. It sends a strong message of solidarity. She also included in her written report the Board's minutes. They had faculty speak who led the movement at UCLA and Davis, and she added that dialogue to the minutes.

Ms. Haber said the UCSA supported the oil tax severance bill and adopted a Cal Grant and GSHIP strategy. Their first week of action for Cal Grants will be September 19. They'll have a postcard campaign, with postcards that look like checks, in support of saving Cal Grants and making Cal Grants a mandatory part of the State budget. They plan a week of action in October and November, the 24th through the 31st.

Ms. Haber said there was an article by Jesse Cheng, the incoming Student Regent, from the UC Commission on the Future. The Commission has been endorsed by Pres. Yudof. It recognizes the University may never be the same again and may not get State funding like it did before. This Commission was being taken very seriously. If people want to sit on a committee, Ms. Haber said they should send her an e-mail. Senator are committees on the size and shape of UC, access and curriculum, funding strategies, and research strategies. There was more information in her report.

Ms. Haber said they had their first day of Coalition tabling that day. They'll table three times a week, Monday, Wednesday, and Friday, and on off days, work on the Cal Grant Day of Action and the National DREAM Act Day of Action, which will be September 23. They'll do an education campaign on the DREAM Act, culminating in a speaker event, which was still in the works.

Ms. Haber said the UC Regents meeting at UCSF was held that day and will end tomorrow. The Commission on the Future inquiries are due by Thursday. She would ask Senators to please apply to committees. she knew they could really contribute and that they were really passionate.

Elected Official Announcements

Mr. Shami said the Berkeley Project opened its registration for teams. He'd like to see if the Senate could form a team, or the ASUC. It was a great way to give back to the community. It will be on October 10. Also, the Armenian Students Association will have its first general meeting at 8:30 in the Tilden Room on Thursday.

Mr. Gaurano said that October is the National Awareness of Disability Employment Month. It will be huge, and people should get ready.

Ms. Boone said it was important to distinguish between the walk-out and the general day of action. If people couldn't miss their classes, or thought doing so would jeopardize them academically, she would ask them to send an email. But she would urge them to walk out. Unions were asking students to not cross their picket lines. Workers will not be at the rally on Sproul because they can't cross the picket lines and will congregate at East Gate. Also, the Cal Berkeley Democrats will have a retreat at Tahoe. Everybody was welcome to attend. There will be progressive training for progressive people. Also, the Queer Alliance and Resource Center will have a trip to the Castro. In addition, Latino Pride was that weekend.

Mr. Deleon said the California Legislature has recognized October to be Filipino-American History Month. The nine different Filipino organizations on campus were coming together to hold events. The first general meeting of the Pilipino Association for Health Careers was scheduled. Regarding the walk-out, they'll also demand Filipino studies. Half the population of Filipinos live in California and there are no classes offered besides Tagalog and a lecture here and there. So they're trying to fight for a major and minor to validate their existence. This was a public institution and should recognize this population.

Ms. Boone asked people to sign the petition to recall Sen. Feinstein because she wasn't hard enough on the public option for health insurance. People could sign the petition at the Cal Dem table.

Mr. Stern said that Hillel open meals occur twice a week, on Wednesday at 6:00 and Friday at 7:00, a pre-Shabbat dinner.

Ms. Oatfield said she felt the budget crisis and management of the University was the issue of the year. She was encouraged about how excited Senators were in working together and getting along. She saw great potential for them to really mobilize around this issue. She would propose that after the Senate meeting, the Senate have a discussion on how to respond to these issues and mobilize their communities.

Mr. Patel said that Cal Slam, the spoken word, poetry collective on campus, will have its first event on Thursday, an open mic and poetry slam. If people have never been to a poetry slam, it's a roller-coaster of emotions and was empowering because it's about people telling their stories. It will be at 7 p.m. in the MCC.

Ms. Cohen said it was Jewish New Year. So there will be a special dinner on Friday. She wanted to wish them *L'Shana Tovah*, which meant "Happy New Year."

Mr. Shami said he was working on creating a service board, to bring service groups on campus together. They had a meeting last week that was attended by 13 representatives. They talked about big events and

a big service project to display the different services these groups provide. The next meeting will be next Friday at 2 p.m. in the Senate Chamber. Also, on Tuesday, September 22, there will be a blood drive/HIV testing. He would ask people to please donate blood, or if they couldn't, to get someone to donate blood for them.

Mr. Franco said he was working on ASUC and residence hall relations. He met with the RHA President, Michelle Xie, and other Senators. He wanted to create a system of communication that respected the res halls and increased collaboration with student organizations that wanted to reach out to over 6,000 residents and at the same time, respect their space. Other Senators interested were Mr. Shami and Mr. Gaurano.

Ms. Kim said API is doing a student questionnaire about people's experience getting into classes and sent out a questionnaire. She would ask Senators to please forward it to friends who have had an experience, good or bad, with getting into a class.

Mr. Bhatt said Indus will have its first party on Friday, at Oasis, in San Francisco.

Mr. Franco said that Hall Associations have started to meet, on Tuesdays at 9 p.m. in all the units. If Senators wanted to speak, they should send an e-mail to the PA and the President one week ahead of time. Mr. Franco said he would ask Senators to also please relay this information to their groups that want to request RHA funding, to have them included in the agenda. He would also suggest that Senators go to res hall meetings, to put their face out there and increase ASUC presence, so first years don't ask what the ASUC was.

Ms. Nguyen said they need Asians to sign up for the bone marrow drive.

Mr. Deleon yielded time to Secretariat Diny Huang. Ms. Huang said that people could sign up for tabling. They only had to do it two or three hours the entire semester. They need all elected officials to work those shifts. They expect chiefs and Executives to do at least two hours.

Ms. Adem said Sunday will be the celebration of the end of Ramadan. The MSA will hold the annual Eid banquet on October 2 in the Lipman Room.

Ms. Saephan said the celebration of Ronald Takaki is on Friday, with a memorial at the I-House.

Mr. Franco said that on Friday, from 12:00 to 6:00, the Tang Center will have seasonal flu shots. Students with SHIP pay \$5.

### Standing Committee Reports

-----  
Begin written report from the Finance Committee

ASUC Standing Committee on Finance  
Fall 2009 Session 3 – week 4

-----  
 Written report from the Finance Committee (cont'd)

Monday, September 14, 2009

9:00 pm, Senate Chambers, Eshleman Hall

Chair: Senator Huda Adem | Vice Chair: Senator Cynthia Nava Zavala

Voting Members: Senators Adem, Bhatt, Carlton, Franco, Deleon, Nava, Nguyen

Non-Voting Members: President Smelko, EVP Tran, Finance Officer, Diny Huang

- I. Meeting Called to order at 9:16 PM
- II. Approval of Minutes from September 7, 2009
  - a. Approved
- III. Approval of the Agenda for September 14, 2009
  - a. Approved
- IV. Roll Call 9:20PM
  - a. All present but President Smelko, EVP Tran, and finance officer
- V. Waivers
  - a. Facility Use Waiver – Indus/ISA Raas Garba Cultural Festival
    - i. \$625.00, Pauley Ballroom
    - ii. Approved
  - b. Finance Rule Waiver - retreat
    - i. Facility, \$340
    - ii. Approved
  - c. Finance Rule Waiver – hmap, shirts for TAs
    - i. Tabled
  - d. Finance Rule Waiver – jsu T-shirt
    - i. jsu representative tarra raffi presented waiver as business plan, jsu will use tshirts as fundraiser. tshirts will be sold to the general uc berkeley student public. 50% profit is expected.
    - ii. Senator deleon expressed concern that the jsu representative for week prior had made different case explaining that shirts will be given away to board and knowledgeable members of jsu, only.
    - iii. jsu rep raffi said that whoever that person was knew nothing and was mistaken
    - iv. jsu rep explained the waiver would fund a business investment much like asuc funds bake sells
    - v. senator nava raised concern that a bake sale does not usually require \$600
    - vi. senator franco expressed concern that jsu had not pursued other avenues
    - vii. senator nguyen explained her willingness to waive was because the group, according to raffi, had never pursued tshirts, and it was a new idea
    - viii. senator bhatt expressed interest in the business aspect
    - ix. senator nava expressed concern that ficom was breaking their own soft rule and setting a precedent to waive other tshirt requests

-----  
 Written report from the Finance Committee (cont'd)

- x. rep to jsu raffi asked the chair to tell whoever that other speaker was that the bylaws made room for a waiver
- xi. senator nava explained that the bylaws also stated to the senate's discretion and that in light of being fiscally responsible waiving tshirts was something to seriously consider
- xii. all senators came to a consensus to waive \$300 rather than \$590 requested
- xiii. Approved as amended for \$300
- e. Finance Rule Waiver – office of the president, food for senior retreat
  - i. Senior Retreat food, \$27.96
  - ii. Approved
- f. Finance Rule Waiver – Italian International Student Association, \$1,000
  - i. Tabled

#### VI. Committee Business

- a. Senator Nguyen volunteered to unofficially update a personal copy of the contingency fund budget until we have a Finance Officer. FiCom will consider the money we allocate and subtract from Senator Nguyen's current budget as one source of information.
- b. Senator Nava wanted to voice her concern for cutting groups' budgets, for those who missed spring budgeting by 50%, when the money allocated is already minute.
- c. FiCom agreed to make special considerations when budgets are below \$200; otherwise, the 50% cut stands.

#### VII. Old Business

- a. HMAP waiver
  - i. Tabled
    - 1. Special note: FiCom asked/requested the Chair to contact HMAP about the need for a representative to speak on waiver at next meeting.
- b. SB 8
  - i. tabled

#### VIII. New Business

- a. SB10 – a bill to Fund Phi Alpha Delta Pre-Law Fraternity International
  - i. bill amended to Include Parth Bhatt as sponsor
  - ii. ficom discussed that since phi alpha delta pre-law had missed spring budgeting 2009-2010 a 50% cut to their \$836.16 budget from 2008-2009 was appropriate.
  - iii. Approved, \$400
- b. SB 13 – a Bill in Support of Project Spreading Multiculturalism and Inspiring Leadership Through Education (Project S.M.I.L.E.)
  - i. Approved, \$200
- c. SB 15 - a bill in Support of the Taiwanese American Student Association
  - i. bill amended as follows:

-----  
 Written report from the Finance Committee (cont'd)

- ii. BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the ASUC allocate ~~\$250~~ \$230 from the Senate Contingency Fund to TASA.
  - iii. Approved as amended, \$230
- d. SB 16- a bill in Support of A Night in Tunisia
- i. 2009-2010 spring budget: \$192.08
  - ii. per bylaw, title 3, section 6a “No more than 25% of current ASUC funding shall be waived to purchase food and beverages as a 1<sup>st</sup> year ASUC sponsored group.”
  - iii. following amendment to bill
    - 1. WHEREAS, the event budgets were as follows:
    - 2. • Food: ~~\$334.60~~ \$48.02
    - 3. • Supplies \$95.60
    - 4. Percussion Performers \$100
    - 5. Equipment Rental (Party Lights + Extra Mic Extension + Extension Cord) \$90
    - 6. Printing (Flyers + Event Poster) \$45
    - 7. Total ~~\$665.20~~ \$378.62
  - iv. Approved as amended \$378.62
  - v. BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the ASUC allocate ~~\$450~~ \$378.62 from the Senate Contingency Fund to the Tunisian Student Club.
  - vi. Approved as amended, \$378.62
- e. SB 17- a bill to fund Navratri; A Multi-Cultural and South Asian Event
- i. senator nava expressed concern that the group rented the entire pauley ball room for an event that served 300, when pauley ballroom serves groups around 800.
  - ii. representatives explained cultural dancing was the reasoning.
  - iii. following amendment to bill
    - 1. WHEREAS, Raas Garba is the year’s first South Asian event on campus that helps raise awareness, allow incoming freshman to assimilate-experience the community, and encourage communication between the different South Asian groups on campus.; and
  - iv. approved \$475
- f. SB 18- a bill in Support of Cal Ski and Snowboard Club
- i. Following amendment
    - 1. Cross out ~~Cal Ski and Snowboard Club~~ and insert College Ski and Snowboard Club in all places where Cal Ski and snowboard club appear
    - 2. Therefore Be It Resolved, that ~~\$325~~ \$300 (three hundred ~~and twenty five~~ USD) shall be allocated from the Senate Contingency Fund to the Cal Ski and Snowboard Club.
  - ii. approved as Amended, \$300
- g. SB 20- a bill in Support of Chiq Magazine

-----  
Written report from the Finance Committee (cont'd)

- i. approved, \$500

IX. Adjournment

a. Roll Call

- i. All Present but President smelko, evp tran and Finance Officer

b. Meeting Adjourned at 11:02pm

End written report from the Finance Committee  
-----

Reporting for the Constitutional and Procedural Review Committee, Ms. Kim said that J-Council Chair Johal emailed them things they should be aware of as Con-Review members regarding By-laws for a recall and quote “official ASUC documents.” They also discussed outdated By-laws and constitutional language that didn't address technological advances. They also talked about revoking student group sponsorship and whether they should make changes to physical polling stations.

-----  
Begin written report from the Constitutional and Procedural Review Committee

Fall 2009 Session – Week 3

Monday, Sept. 14, 2009

9:00pm, Senate Lounge, Eshleman Hall

Chair: Senator Minji Kim | Vice Chair: Senator Ariel Boone

Voting Members: Senator Boone, Gala, Kwon, Kim, Oatfield, Patel, Shami

- I. Meeting convened at 9:05 PM.
- II. New Business
- III. Space Allocations – We received no appeals for the preliminary decision
- IV. Approval of the Agenda for September 21, 2009 – moved by Senator Patel
  - Point of information by Senator Patel on Judicial Council Justice
- V. Appointments
- VI. Judicial Council Justice
  - President Smelko didn't nominate anyone; Senator Patel moves to table until a nominee is selected
- VII. Old Business
- VIII. Guest Announcements
- IX. Kiira Johal is not present, but emailed her notes on the constitution and bylaws to the Committee

-----  
Written report from the Constitutional and Procedural Review Committee (cont'd)

- X. Senator Kim will invite fmr. Senator Raffi to discuss why she chose the number she did as a threshold in Article II Section 7.
- XI. Senator Oatfield mentions that the physical polling places should be up for review
- XII. Senator Kim initiates an itemized analysis of the bylaw notes sent by Kiira Johal.
- XIII. The Committee agrees to review the constitution and bylaws, title by title, to analyze outdatedness, beginning with the constitution.
- XIV. Roll call at 9:25 pm. Motion to open the rolls. Senators Patel, Kwon, Oatfield, Kim, Gala, Shami present. Rolls closed
- XV. Elected Officials Discussion
- XVI. Adjournment: moved by Sen. Boone, seconded at 9:55
- XVII. Roll Call: all senators present. Rolls closed.
- XVIII. End written report from the Constitutional and Procedural Review Committee

-----  
Begin written report from the University and External Affairs Committee

Fall 2009 Session – Week 3  
Tuesday, [9/15/09]  
9:00 PM, [June Jordan]

- 1. Called to order by Chair Viola Tang: 9:15 PM
- 2. Roll Call: All members present
- 3. Old Business

SB 5 (Calpirg): Motion to table (make sure it's ok with university first): passed

- 4. New Business

SB 12 (officer): motion to call to question: passed

SB 21 (walk-out): motion to move into consideration

Motion to table (wait until faculty comes and talks with us on Wednesday): objection

discussion: include language about how walkout will help the problem instead of just putting on a show, let's hear from administrators in support of it.

Motion to go through amended resolved clauses one by one and discuss: Passed

Motion to add sponsors list to add Senators Carlton and Adem: passes

Motion to call to question SB 21: Passes with amendments

Appointments  
Consent Calendar

-----  
Written report from the University and External Affairs Committee (cont'd)

Motion to roll call: All members present

Motion to adjourn

5. Roll Call: All members present

6. Adjourned: 10:07 PM

End written report from the University and External Affairs Committee  
-----

Ms. Boone moved to go to Appointments. The motion was seconded by Mr. Deleon and passed with no objection.

#### APPOINTMENTS (cont'd)

Ms. Tang moved to nominate Chen Ling to the J-Council. It was under the jurisdiction of the Senate since it was past the second week of Senate business.

#### CONSENT CALENDAR

The following bills were up for consideration that evening under the Consent Calendar: SBs 10, as amended; 13; 15, as amended; 16, as amended; 17, as amended; 18, as amended; 20; 12; and 21, as amended.

A motion to recess for two minutes was made and seconded by Mr. Patel and Ms. Nguyen and failed by hand-vote. A motion to recess for three minutes was made and seconded by Ms. Tang and Mr. Patel and failed by hand-vote.

The following bills were removed from the Consent Calendar: SBs 20; and 21, as amended.

A motion to approve the Consent Calendar was made and seconded. THE MOTION TO APPROVE THE CONSENT CALENDAR PASSED WITH NO OBJECTION: WAIVERS; AND SB 10, AS AMENDED; SB 12; SB 13; SB 15, AS AMENDED; SB 16, AS AMENDED; SB 17, AS AMENDED; AND SB 18, AS AMENDED.

The following waivers were approved under the Consent Calendar:

Facility Use Waiver – Indus/ISA Raas Garba Cultural Festival  
\$625.00, Pauley Ballroom  
Approved

Finance Rule Waiver - retreat  
Facility, \$340  
Approved

Finance Rule Waiver – JSU T-shirt  
All Senators came to a consensus to waive \$300 rather than \$590 requested  
Approved as amended for \$300

Finance Rule Waiver – Office of the President, food for senior retreat  
Senior Retreat food, \$27.96  
Approved

The following Resolution, SB 10, as amended in committee, was approved under the Consent Calendar and was authored by Mr. Bhatt

#### RESOLUTION TO FUND PHI ALPHA DELTA PRE-LAW FRATERNITY INTERNATIONAL

WHEREAS, Phi Alpha Delta is a SAG organization and a professional pre-law fraternity on campus; and

WHEREAS, since receiving its Charter, the Berkeley Chapter of Phi Alpha Delta has expanded to over 135 brothers and sisters and the program includes legal, professional and philanthropic components; and

WHEREAS, in 2006, the Berkeley Chapter was named the third best chapter in the world.; and

WHEREAS, today, there are chapter alumni attending top ten law schools such as Harvard, Columbia, Georgetown and Boalt and working in a variety of professional fields.; and

WHEREAS, it has been ASUC sponsored for nine years; and

WHEREAS, last year the organization failed to meet the Spring budgeting deadline; and

WHEREAS, the line item budget of anticipated expenses of this falls rush are as follows: Final Total, \$957.13

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the ASUC allocate \$400 to cover fliers, glossies, and part of office supplies.

Consent Calendar -- SB 12, In Support of Officer Ally Jacobs and Officer Lisa Campbell - 36 -  
-- SB 13, Project Spreading Multiculturalism and Inspiring Leadership Through  
Education (Project SMILE)

The following Resolution, SB 12, was approved under the Consent Calendar and was authored by Ms. Cohen and Mr. Stern and was co-sponsored by Ms. Boone:

RESOLUTION IN SUPPORT OF OFFICER ALLY JACOBS AND OFFICER LISA CAMPBELL

WHEREAS, Officer Ally Jacobs and Officer Lisa Campbell demonstrated extraordinary conduct in the line of duty on August 26, 2009; and

WHEREAS, Phillip Garrido abducted Jaycee Lee Dugard in 1991 and held her and her two daughters against their will for over 18 year<sup>1</sup>; and

WHEREAS, if it were not for the proactive efforts of Officer Jacobs and Officer Campbell, Ms. Dugard and her daughters might not have been freed from captivity; and

WHEREAS, UC Berkeley Chancellor Robert Biregenau commended the two officers and the UC Police department for, "display[ing] the intelligence, training, and professional intuition that are required of the best in police work"; and

WHEREAS, under its Constitution, the ASUC is committed to effective student participation in all areas of student concern;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the ASUC President and External Affairs Vice President are authorized to write letters which reflect this position of the ASUC to any relevant party, be it upon request or of their own accord, especially to Officers Ally Jacobs and Lisa Campbell.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the ASUC President and External Affairs Vice President are authorized to write letters offering their condolences and to Jaycee Lee Dugard and her family.

---

<sup>1</sup> [http://berkeley.edu/news/media/releases/2009/08/28\\_ucpd.shtml](http://berkeley.edu/news/media/releases/2009/08/28_ucpd.shtml)

The following Resolution, SB 13, was approved under the Consent Calendar and was authored by Rueben Salmeron and Ms. Tang and was co-sponsored by Ms. Adem:

RESOLUTION IN SUPPORT OF PROJECT SPREADING MULTICULTURALISM AND INSPIRING LEADERSHIP THROUGH EDUCATION (PROJECT SMILE)

WHEREAS, Project Spreading Multiculturalism and Inspiring Leadership Through Education (SMILE) is a first-year Student-Initiated Service Group; and

WHEREAS, Project SMILE matches up students from Longfellow Middle School and the University of California, Berkeley, on a one-to-one mentorship program; and

Consent Calendar -- SB 13, Project Spreading Multiculturalism and Inspiring Leadership Through Education (Project SMILE) (cont'd) - 37 -  
-- SB 15, In Support of the Taiwanese American Student Association

RESOLUTION IN SUPPORT OF PROJECT SPREADING MULTICULTURALISM AND INSPIRING LEADERSHIP THROUGH EDUCATION (PROJECT SMILE) (cont'd)

WHEREAS, Project SMILE seeks to promote and instill values including the appreciation of diversity, leadership abilities, educational awareness, and a proactive sense of community; and

WHEREAS, Project SMILE was established in fall 1999 and served up to 80 middle school students annually; and

WHEREAS, Project SMILE separated from the Asian American Association in spring 2009; and

WHEREAS, Project SMILE did not receive any funding through spring budgeting; and

WHEREAS, the operational items are as follows: Total, \$650

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Associated Students of the University of California (ASUC) recognizes Project SMILE as an ASUC sponsored Student-Initiated Service Group.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the ASUC allocate \$200 from the Senate Contingency Fund to Project SMILE

The following Resolution, SB 15, as amended in committee, was approved under the Consent Calendar and was authored by Mr. Lee and Patty Liu:

RESOLUTION IN SUPPORT OF THE TAIWANESE AMERICAN STUDENT ASSOCIATION

WHEREAS, the Associated Students of University of California strives to serve students' best interests by supporting, among other things, events that promote diversity, while inspiring and encouraging the personal and professional development of students; and

WHEREAS, the purpose of the organization is to reach out to the community, which includes the University itself, and to promote the culture of Taiwanese and Taiwanese-Americans; and

WHEREAS, TASA is working with the ITASA(Intercollegiate Taiwanese American Student Association) West Coast Governor on a leadership retreat this fall for 20-30 students from Berkeley as well as other universities; and

WHEREAS, TASA will be sponsoring a student-formed rock band called Zeroth, which has gained popularity in the Bay Area and fundraised for the Red Cross and the recent typhoon in Taiwan, and helping it to hold a performance on campus; and

RESOLUTION IN SUPPORT OF THE TAIWANESE AMERICAN STUDENT ASSOCIATION  
(cont'd)

WHEREAS, TASA plans to partner with SUPERB to hold a free screening of “Formosa Betrayed,” a Hollywood film produced by Taiwanese director William Tiao, and have its team of producers on campus; and

WHEREAS, TASA will be collaborating with groups such as the Chinese Student Association and the Asian American Association on events such as its annual mixer and its Night Market event; and

WHEREAS, TASA is sponsored by a tea company and TaiwaneseAmerican.org, which will assist in organizing an event for 15-20 professionals to talk to TASA members about their careers; and

WHEREAS, TASA has been ASUC-sponsored and active on campus for five and six years, respectively; and

WHEREAS, TASA failed to meet the spring budgeting deadline last year; and

WHEREAS, the line item budget of this academic year is approximated as follows: Total, \$ 850;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the ASUC recognizes the Taiwanese-American Student Association as a sixth-year ASUC-sponsored student activity group (SAG).

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the ASUC allocate \$230 from the Senate Contingency Fund to TASA.

The following Resolution, SB 16, as amended in committee, was approved under the Consent Calendar and was authored by Mr. Lee and Meriem Ben Salah and was co-sponsored by Mr. Shami and Mr. Gaurano:

RESOLUTION IN SUPPORT OF A NIGHT IN TUNISIA

WHEREAS, the Tunisian Student Club is a first-year ASUC-sponsored student activity group; and

WHEREAS, “A Night in Tunisia” is hosted by the Tunisian Student Club as an event for the Bay Area Tunisian community to enjoy and share the Tunisian culture; and

WHEREAS, the event welcomed new students on campus to experience a culture they otherwise might not have a chance to interact with;

#### RESOLUTION IN SUPPORT OF A NIGHT IN TUNISIA

WHEREAS, the event was originally a part of Welcome Week Late Night, sponsored by Campus Life and Leadership, to provide non-alcoholic night events for students during Welcome Week; and

WHEREAS, the Tunisian Student Club was originally allocated \$1,000 by Campus Life and Leadership, before all \$20,000 for 26 student-organized events was cut; and

WHEREAS, the Tunisian Student Club already sent out invitations before the cut in funding; and

WHEREAS, more than 100 people attended the event in front of Sproul Hall on Friday evening, August 28th; and

WHEREAS, the event budgets were as follows: Total: \$378.62

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the ASUC recognizes "A Night in Tunisia" as an ASUC-sponsored event.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the ASUC allocate \$378.62 from the Senate Contingency Fund to the Tunisian Student Club.

The following Resolution, SB 17, as amended in committee, was approved under the Consent Calendar and was authored by Mr. Bhatt:

#### RESOLUTION TO FUND NAVRATRI; A MULTI-CULTURAL AND SOUTH ASIAN EVENT

WHEREAS, Navratri is a nine-night festival celebrated by Indians around the world and brought to UC Berkeley by Indus in partnership with Indian Students Association (ISA) and the Hindu Student Council (HSC); and

WHEREAS, this cultural night of singing and dancing is a chance to get in touch with your roots by dancing raas and garba; and

WHEREAS, the event is open to all students on campus; and

WHEREAS, dance lessons and tutorials will be offered to teach interested students how to participate in this joyous event; and

WHEREAS, Raas Garba is one of the rare opportunities for students to have a chance to wear traditional Indian clothing: salwars, kurtas, lenghas, or even saris!; and

RESOLUTION TO FUND NAVRATRI; A MULTI-CULTURAL AND SOUTH ASIAN EVENT  
(cont'd)

WHEREAS, this year we will be featuring live music at this event with the upcoming South Asian band "Eastern Winds," which is partially comprised of students who currently attend UC Berkeley; and

WHEREAS, Raas Garba is the year's first South Asian event on campus that helps raise awareness, allows incoming freshman to experience the community, and encourages communication between the different South Asian groups on campus.; and

WHEREAS, the line item budget of anticipated expenses of this falls rush are as follows: Totals, \$ 2,125 - \$2,600; Grand Total, \$475;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the ASUC allocate \$475 from contingency to support this event.

The following Resolution, SB 18, as amended in committee, was approved under the Consent Calendar and was authored by Mr. Stern and was co-sponsored by Mr. Shami:

RESOLUTION IN SUPPORT OF THE COLLEGE SKI AND SNOWBOARD CLUB

WHEREAS, the College Ski and Snowboard Club (CSSC) is a SAG organization on this campus that allows enthusiastic skiers and snowboarders to pursue their interests and access the outdoors; and

WHEREAS, CSSC is a diverse group of over 400 students, with members from many different campus communities; and

WHEREAS, CSSC is planning several outreach events to attract new members including, a barbecue and a movie premier; and

WHEREAS, CSSC is dedicated to informing students about ski and snowboard safety by bringing a ski patrolman to campus to educate students about avalanche and backcountry safety; and

WHEREAS, CSSC has a strong relationship with a non-profit environmental organization called "Protect Our Winters," and last year hosted an event where they collected snow clothing to donate to underprivileged children; and

WHEREAS, it has been ASUC sponsored for twelve years; and,

WHEREAS, last year the organization failed to meet the spring budgeting deadline; and

RESOLUTION IN SUPPORT OF THE COLLEGE SKI AND SNOWBOARD CLUB (cont'd)

WHEREAS, the line item budget of anticipated expenses of this fall's events are as follows: \$481;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that \$300 (three hundred USD) shall be allocated from the Senate Contingency Fund to the College Ski and Snowboard Club.

ITEMS FOR IMMEDIATE CONSIDERATION

The following Resolution, SB 20, was authored by Ms. Kwon, Christine Jean Huh, and Susanna Shin:

RESOLUTION IN SUPPORT OF CHIQ MAGAZINE

WHEREAS, Chiq is a unique publication to the UC Berkeley campus with its mission to unify the female student body by celebrating diversity and addressing the full spectrum of issues that women face, including, but not limited to beauty, fashion, health, fitness, diet, lifestyle, and relationships; and

WHEREAS, Chiq serves as an outlet for students to showcase and develop their skills in journalism, photography, art, and graphic design; and

WHEREAS, Chiq's Web site states a goal to build a sense of community for women on the Cal campus, and is a publication relevant to a large percentage of the student body; and

WHEREAS, Chiq distributes content through their web site ([www.chiq-magazine.com](http://www.chiq-magazine.com)) and has established a reader base; and

WHEREAS, Chiq would like to print its magazine in the near future; and

WHEREAS, a successful launch of a print magazine requires extensive publicity and marketing of the magazine prior to its launch; and

WHEREAS, the maximum amount granted for a first-year ASUC sponsored publication is \$700; and

WHEREAS, the preliminary budget as of September 3rd, 2009 is as follows: Total, \$500;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the ASUC recognizes Chiq Magazine as a first-year ASUC-sponsored publication.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the ASUC allocate \$500 (five-hundred USD) from the Senate Contingency Fund to Chiq Magazine.

Mr. Shami said that at the Web site there was some language he didn't feel comfortable with and wouldn't represent some students on campus. He just wanted to raise concerns about the language on the group's Web site.

A motion to approve SB 20 was made and seconded.

A roll call vote was requested and the request was seconded.

Roll call was taken on the motion to approve SB 20:

YES		NO
Huda Adem	Minji Kim	Christina Oatfield
Parth Bhatt	Eunice Kwon	J.P. Shami
Ariel Boone	Sam Lee	
Emily Kate Carlton	Cynthia Nava	ABSTAIN
Sandra Cohen	Nhu Nhu Nguyen	Anish Gala
Lean Deleon	Rahul Patel	
Christopher Franco	Chaney Saephan	
Jonathan Gaurano	Noah Stern	
	Viola Tang	

THE MOTION TO APPROVE SB 20 PASSED 17-2-1, RESOLUTION IN SUPPORT OF CHIQ MAGAZINE. Voting comments were heard.

The following Resolution, SB 21, as amended in Committee, was authored by Mary June Flores, Ricardo Gomez and Ms. Tang, and was co-sponsored by Ms. Boone, Mr. Deleon, Ms. Kwon, Ms. Nava, Mr. Patel, Ms. Saephan, Ms. Carlton, Ms. Adem, Mr. Gala, Mr. Gaurano, Ms. Nguyen, Ms. Kim, Mr. Lee, Ms. Oatfield, Mr. Stern, Mr. Bhatt, and Mr. Franco:

RESOLUTION IN SUPPORT OF SAVE THE UNIVERSITY AND THE UC-WIDE WALKOUT ON SEPTEMBER 24, 2009

WHEREAS, on July 21, 2009 Save the University was established by concerned faculty of the University of California, Berkeley; and

WHEREAS, Save the University was formed in the spirit of shared governance in response to California's current fiscal crisis and its immediate threat to the core mission of public higher education at the University of California (UC); and

WHEREAS, Save the University is a coalition across all nine UC campuses; and

WHEREAS, faculty at other UC campuses, California State Universities, and community college campuses, as well as students, staff, parents, alumni, business leaders, elected officials, and all

RESOLUTION IN SUPPORT OF SAVE THE UNIVERSITY AND THE UC-WIDE WALKOUT ON SEPTEMBER 24, 2009 (cont'd)

who share concern regarding the impact of budgetary decisions upon the entire structure of higher education, stand in solidarity; and

WHEREAS, Save the University is committed to preserving the excellence of the University of California in teaching and research across all academic disciplines and professional schools; and

WHEREAS, the standards of excellence in teaching and research are threatened by those charged with the responsibility to maintain and promote them; and

WHEREAS, UC President Mark Yudof has mishandled the current crisis, therefore galvanizing University employees, faculty, staff and students to vote "No Confidence" in his leadership; and

WHEREAS, although separate from Save the University, the Faculty Walkout of September 24th 2009 stands for the same cause and is endorsed by the American Association of University Professors and supported unanimously by over 100 GSIs from 17 departments; and

WHEREAS, under its Constitution, the Associated Students of the University of California (ASUC) is committed to effective student participation in all areas of student concern; and

WHEREAS, the ASUC is deeply committed to upholding the quality of education in public higher education institutions in California;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the ASUC stands in support of Save the University and participates in the Faculty Walkout on the 24th of September 2009 as a concerned body of student representatives.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the ASUC demands that Governor Schwarzenegger, the Legislature, and the Board of Regents fulfill the Higher Education Compact (2005) and reaffirm the commitments established in the Master Plan for Higher Education (Donahoe Act; 1960).

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the ASUC urges the Office of the President to act in concord with faculty to preserve excellence in the core teaching and research missions of the University.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the ASUC demands no layoffs, furloughs, or pay cuts for salaries under \$40,000.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the ASUC requests that the ASUC President and Executive Affairs Vice President meet with respective stakeholders, designated members of the Office of the President, to gain a greater understanding of the situation, represent students' thoughts and concerns, and discuss plans for future student fee changes in a effort to increase the transparency in this process.

RESOLUTION IN SUPPORT OF SAVE THE UNIVERSITY AND THE UC-WIDE WALKOUT ON SEPTEMBER 24, 2009 (cont'd)

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the ASUC demands a complete rollback of student fees to 2008-2009 level and a permanent freeze on fee increases beyond the rate of inflation.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the ASUC urges greater administrative and budgetary transparency in recognition of the principle of shared governance, and demands full disclosure of the UC budget.

BE IT FINALLY RESOLVED, that the ASUC President and External Affairs Vice President are authorized to write letters to the respective stakeholders in support of Save the University and the Faculty Walkout on the 24th of September 2009.

Mr. Gala moved to amend, to add the following as co-sponsors: Mr. Gala, Mr. Gaurano, Ms. Carlton, Ms. Nguyen, Ms. Kim, Mr. Lee, Ms. Oatfield, Mr. Stern, Mr. Bhatt, and Mr. Franco. The motion was seconded. THE MOTION TO APPROVE THE AMENDMENT PASSED WITH NO OBJECTION.

Ms. Tang moved to recess for two minutes. The motion was seconded by Mr. Deleon and passed by hand-vote. This meeting was recessed.

Back in session, Ms. Tang moved to suspend the Robert's Rules, to change the title of the bill. The motion was seconded and passed with no objection.

Ms. Tang moved to amend the title, from "In Support of Save the University and the Faculty Walkout on September 24, 2009 to, "In Support of Save the University and the UC-Wide Walkout on September 24, 2009." The motion was seconded. THE MOTION TO AMEND PASSED WITH NO OBJECTION.

Ms. Nava moved to reinstate Robert's Rules. The motion was seconded and passed with no objection.

Mr. Patel moved to call the question on the bill. The motion was seconded and passed with no objection.

A roll call vote was requested. Roll call was taken on the motion to approve SB 21, as amended:

YES

Huda Adem  
Parth Bhatt  
Ariel Boone  
Emily Kate Carlton  
Sandra Cohen  
Lean Deleon  
Christopher Franco

Anish Gala  
Jonathan Gaurano  
Minji Kim  
Eunice Kwon  
Sam Lee  
Cynthia Nava  
Nhu Nhu Nguyen

Rahul Patel  
Christina Oatfield  
Chaney Saephan  
J.P. Shami  
Noah Stern  
Viola Tang

THE MOTION TO APPROVE SB 21, AS AMENDED, PASSED 20-0-0, RESOLUTION IN SUPPORT OF SAVE THE UNIVERSITY AND THE UC-WIDE WALKOUT ON SEPTEMBER 24, 2009  
(Applause) Voting comments were heard.

### APPROVAL OF 2009-2010 SPACE ALLOCATIONS

Mr. Tran called for any changes to final space allocations. A request was made for the Chair to email out the final space allocations. Ms. Cohen said that was e-mailed out on August 31. Mr. Tran said there were no changes to that.

Ms. Kim said that people filed a preliminary appeal to the EVP and no student group made a secondary appeal. So no appeals to space allocations came to Con-Review.

A motion to approve the 2009-10 final space allocations was made and seconded.

Ms. Tang said she heard that the International Student Association filed a second appeal. Mr. Tran said the ISA got its space.

Ms. Tang asked to have the updated list sent out.

Ms. Kim said that Con-Review received no appeals. Ms. Tang said she would like time to follow-up on that.

Mr. Tran said that in the space assignments, line 160 shows that the group received space.

A motion to call the question was made and seconded withdraw passed with no objection.

THE MOTION TO APPROVE THE 2009-2010 SPACE ALLOCATIONS PASSED WITH NO OBJECTION.

### OLD BUSINESS

#### Appointment of Senators to the ASUC Attorney Selection Committee

Mr. Tran called for nominations for the three Senate positions on the ASUC Attorney Selection Committee, as reflected in SB 5.

Mr. Stern nominated Mr. Bhatt. Ms. Cohen nominated Ms. Adem, who respectfully declined. Ms. Tang nominated Ms. Kwon. Ms. Nguyen nominated Mr. Shami. Ms. Oatfield nominated Ms. Boone. Mr. Gala nominated Mr. Franco. Mr. Deleon nominated Mr. Gaurano, who respectfully declined. Ms. Tang nominated Ms. Oatfield. Mr. Bhatt nominated Ms. Carlton, who respectfully declined. Ms. Kwon asked to withdraw her nomination. Ms. Boone nominated Ms. Saephan, who respectfully declined. Ms. Boone nominated Mr. Deleon. Mr. Tran said that seeing no further nominations, nominations were closed.

Mr. Tran said they would hear from those who were nominated.

Mr. Bhatt said he authored the bill to have the Selection Committee. He was very passionate about this process. THE MOTION TO APPROVE MR. BHATT AS ONE OF THE SENATORS ON THE ASUC LAWYER SELECTION COMMITTEE PASSED BY HAND-VOTE 19-0-1.

Ms. Cohen moved to vote on the nominees after the Senate heard from all the nominees. The motion was seconded by Mr. Gala and passed with no objection.

Mr. Shami said he also sponsored the bill creating the Selection Committee and worked on previous Selection Committees, such as the Executive Director for Office of Student Development. Ms. Boone asked to withdraw her nomination.

Mr. Franco asked to withdraw his nomination.

Ms. Oatfield said she met with the ASUC lawyer a couple of times last year and noticed some qualities in him that she really liked. She would like to ensure that somebody be hired who had those qualities. She met with the ASUC auditor a couple of times last year and noted some qualities in his that she really liked. She would like to ensure that the ASUC hires somebody who also had those good qualities. She's been part of hiring before, such as for a full-time Program Director. And she's been on numerous hiring committees.

Ms. Tang moved to go back to nominations for the position. The motion was seconded by Mr. Deleon and failed by hand-vote 7-9-0.

Ms. Tang moved to go back to nominations. she said people were unclear as to what they were voting on. Mr. Tran said the motion failed.

Mr. Deleon said he's pre-law and was interested in how law changes society. He's been part of other selection committees, with bridges and for directors. even with his friends he questions their motives and experiences. He could also do outreach to communities that don't usually get outreached to by the ASUC. He's a Berkeley Student Foundation scholarship recipient and he's had constant talks with Mr. Himmelstein and could talk to him about the kind of lawyer he was interested in seeing.

Ms. Tang moved to go back to nominations. The motion was seconded by Ms. Boone. The motion failed by hand-vote 10-7-3.

Ms. Tang moved to recess for two minutes. The motion was seconded by Mr. Deleon and failed by hand-vote. Ms. Tang said she wanted to move back to nominations because Ms. Kwon wanted to be on this committee, but had wanted to give Mr. Deleon an opportunity. Ms. Kwon said this was something she was really interested in. Ms. Carlton moved to return to nominations. The motion was seconded by Mr. Gaurano and passed with no objection.

Ms. Carlton nominated Ms. Kwon. Seeing no further nominations, Mr. Tran said nominations were closed.

Ms. Kwon said she followed the process last year to select the ASUC lawyer and have been following what was going on. She's been on selection committees before.

The motion to approve Mr. Shami to the ASUC Attorney Selection Committee failed by hand-vote 8-1-9.

Mr. Bhatt moved to reconsider Sen. Shami's nomination. Mr. Tran said somebody on the prevailing side had to make that motion, somebody who voted nay.

Mr. Stern moved to enter into discussion. The motion was seconded and passed with no objection.

Ms. Carlton proposed approving Ms. Oatfield and Ms. Kwon. The motion was seconded by Mr. Gala. Mr. Tran said the Chair would entertain a straw poll. The straw poll was seconded by Ms. Carlton. A straw poll was taken.

Mr. Bhatt moved to approve Ms. Kwon and Ms. Oatfield for the remaining two positions. The motion was seconded. THE MOTION TO APPROVE MS. KWON AND MS. OATFIELD AS THE FINAL TWO SENATE REPRESENTATIVES ON THE ASUC ATTORNEY SELECTION COMMITTEE, PASSED WITH NO OBJECTION. Mr. Tran said that Mr. Bhatt, Ms. Kwon, and Ms. Oatfield were on the Attorney Selection Committee.

### Special Committee Reports

Reporting for the Services Board Director Selection Committee, Mr. Shami said they were nominating Farrah Mousli.

Reporting for the Attorney General/Solicitor General Selection Committee, Mr. Patel said they received three applications for AG and they'll hold interviews on Thursday from 5:00 to 7:00, and should have a nominee for the Senate to approve by next week.

### Reports from ASUC Representatives

Mr. Shami said that he, Mr. Franco, and Mr. Gaurano went to Units 1, 2, and 3, to speak to Hall Associations. The Associations were extremely receptive and were very excited about working with the ASUC and to see people going to meetings.

Mr. Franco said the RHA will have its first official meeting on the 28th, from 7:00 to 9:00. The meeting on Monday will be a closed meeting, for training.

Mr. Deleon said the Berkeley Student Foundation meeting was held last Thursday. People got to meet the new freshmen recipients. It was very empowering to see more people of color coming to the campus. He'd forward out more information.

Mr. Bhatt said he and Mr. Gala were the lead liaisons to the RSF. The first meeting for the RSF is on Thursday at 5 p.m. at the Haas Club Room. He and Mr. Gala will be unable to attend because of the AG/SG Selection Committee interviews. So if anybody was interested, they should attend.

Mr. Tran said the Chair would entertain a motion to adjourn. It was so moved and seconded by Ms. Kim and Ms. Carlton and passed with no objection.

Roll call was taken for attendance. Members present were:

Huda Adem  
Parth Bhatt  
Ariel Boone  
Emily Kate Carlton  
Sandra Cohen  
Lean Deleon  
Christopher Franco

Anish Gala  
Jonathan Gaurano  
Minji Kim  
Eunice Kwon  
Sam Lee  
Cynthia Nava  
Nhu Nhu Nguyen

Rahul Patel  
Christina Oatfield  
Chaney Saephan  
J.P. Shami  
Noah Stern  
Viola Tang

This meeting adjourned at 12:47 a.m.

These minutes respectfully submitted by,

Steven I. Litwak  
Recording Secretary